

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

GEORGE PIO, Individually and on Behalf
of All Other Persons Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

GENERAL MOTORS COMPANY,
MARY T. BARRA, DANIEL AMMANN,
ALAN S. BATEY, JAMES B. DELUCA,
and DANIEL F. AKERSON,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff George Pio (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, by his undersigned attorneys, for his complaint against defendants, alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts, and information and belief as to all other matters, based upon, *inter alia*, the investigation conducted by and through his attorneys, which included, among other things, a review of the defendants’ public documents, conference calls and announcements made by defendants, United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filings, wire and press releases published by and regarding General Motors Company (“GM” or the “Company”), analyst reports and advisories about the Company, and information readily obtainable on the Internet.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class consisting of all persons other than defendants who purchased GM securities between November 17, 2010 and March 10, 2014, inclusive (the “Class Period”), seeking to recover damages caused by defendants’ violations of the federal securities laws and to pursue remedies under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5 against the Company and certain of its top officials.

2. GM is one of the nation’s oldest and largest car manufacturers. With a dealership network that spans the globe and over 200,000 employees, the Company plays a major role in the United States and international automobile market.

3. The Company and its subsidiaries offer to car buyers across the globe a wide range of car brands, each featuring varying price points, stylistic details, design and other features. These brands include: Buick, Cadillac, Chevrolet, GMC, Daewoo, Holden, Opel, Vauxhall and Isuzu. The Company’s North America subsidiary, GM North America (“GNMA”), offers four main brands to consumers in the U.S., Canada and Mexico: Chevrolet, GMC, Buick and Cadillac.

4. The GM brand cars have historically been held in high esteem by consumers for the cars’ safety, reliability, value, luxury and other features. Brand value, manufacturer goodwill and other reputational elements are especially

important to car buyers as car purchases are typically expensive purchases, involving a long review of available options, value and reputation of the subject vehicles and manufacturer.

5. The most important factor for car buyers when considering which make and model of car to purchase, according to a recent Consumer Reports study, is car safety.

6. In its prior annual reports and financial statements GM states that its “vision is to design, build and sell the world’s best vehicles”:

The primary elements of our strategy to achieve this vision are to:

- Deliver a product portfolio of the world’s best vehicles, allowing us to maximize sales under any market conditions;
- Sell our vehicles globally by targeting developed markets, which are projected to have increases in vehicle demand as the global economy recovers, and further strengthening our position in high growth emerging markets;
- Improve revenue realization and maintain a competitive cost structure to allow us to remain profitable at lower industry volumes and across the lifecycle of our product portfolio; and
- Maintain a strong balance sheet by reducing financial leverage given the high operating leverage of our business model.

7. As a further testament to the importance of maintaining a strong reputation for safety and quality in the automotive marketplace, the Company attested that: “[t]he principal factors that determine consumer vehicle preferences in the markets in which we operate include price, quality, available options, style, safety, reliability, fuel economy and functionality.” [Emphasis added.]

8. Despite playing lip service to the importance of safety and quality to its reputation and position in the marketplace, Defendants engaged in a scheme to hide from consumers and investors that GM’s cars were plagued with a number of dangerous defects, which resulted in a multitude of adverse events including fatal car crashes and devastating injuries to GM’s automobiles, drivers, passengers and others.

9. The principal defect at issue was a small, but catastrophic problem concerning GM cars’ ignition switch, and the switch’s susceptibility to becoming jarred in routine driving situations, thereby shutting off the vehicle, and cutting off essential safety features such as airbags, power brakes and power steering. Once the car was shut-off by the ignition failure, a driver was susceptible to higher rates of accidents, and could not effectively steer and brake the vehicle as a result of the loss in steering and brake functionality. Further exacerbating the situation was the fact that upon impact, passengers in GM vehicles would not be protected by the cars’ airbags, and were therefore more prone to severe injuries and fatalities.

10. Yet, despite being fully aware as early as 2001 of the severe and easily corrected defect in its cars, the Company refused to engage the legally required recall, and instead continued to sell millions of defective cars to U.S. consumers, causing further injuries and fatalities. Such behavior not only subjected GM consumers to an incredible risk of injury to themselves, their families and others, but also exposed the Company itself to significant reputational and legal exposure.

11. GM's illegal and immoral activities during the Class Period effectively eviscerated GM's reputation for safety, quality, value and performance. Moreover, the news of the Company's recalls through a series of disclosures, and later reports of government criminal and civil investigations into the Company, triggered a sharp decline in the Company's share price, wiping out billions in shareholder value.

12. On February 7, 2014, the Company notified the NHTSA of its decision to recall 2005-2007 Chevrolet Cobalt and 2007 Pontiac G5 vehicles, due to defects in the manufacturing of their key ignition switches.

13. On this news, the Company's shares fell \$1.21 per share or over 3% to close at \$34.90 per share on February 10, 2014.

14. Then, on February 24, 2014, the Company expanded the recall to include the 2003-2007 Saturn Ion, 2006-2007 Chevrolet HHR and Pontiac

Solstice, and 2007 Saturn Sky. These initial recall announcements included more than 1.65 million vehicles.

15. On March 11, 2014, the Company sent a letter to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (“NHTSA”) detailing the alleged issues with GM’s ignition switches and submitted a chronology of the Company’s discovery of such problems and failure to properly advise consumers and regulators, leading to various injuries and deaths.

16. On this news, the Company’s shares fell \$1.91, to close on March 11, 2014 at \$35.18 per share, a one day decline of over 5%, on volume of over 41 million shares.

17. The Company’s stock price continued to decline in the subsequent trading sessions after reports of a criminal investigation into GM’s business practices was reported on March 11, 2013, after trading hours. The Company’s stock price fell a further \$1.09, or 3% per share, to close on March 13, 2014 at \$34.09.

18. On March 17, 2014, the Company issued a press release announcing that “GM redoubles safety efforts,” and reported further recalls of vehicles including over 1.5 million additional cars not previously recalled. Thus, in a span of less than seven weeks, the Company announced recalls more than 3.1 million

vehicles. The Company also announced a \$300 million charge in the first quarter of 2014 in order to deal with the recall campaigns.

19. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (1) GM was in breach of applicable industry and government regulations and policies concerning passenger and automotive safety; (2) the Company was subject to criminal and civil litigation and potentially devastating harm to its reputation and future revenues; (3) over three million GM cars contained defects subjecting drivers, passengers and others to devastating, and at times fatal, injuries; (4) the Company lacked adequate internal controls; (5) despite defendants' knowing of such potential harm to drivers and passengers, as early as 2001, the Company refused to recall such vehicles for a quick and inexpensive fix to the cars' ignition switches, leading to at least twelve reported deaths and countless injuries; and, (6) as a result of the foregoing, the Company's statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

20. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

21. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder (17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5).

22. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to § 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §78aa) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.

23. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to §27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §78aa and 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) as the Company is headquartered in this District.

24. In connection with the acts, conduct and other wrongs alleged in this Complaint, defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including but not limited to, the United States mail, interstate telephone communications and the facilities of the national securities exchange.

PARTIES

25. Plaintiff, as set forth in the attached certification, purchased GM securities at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period was damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures.

26. Defendant GM is a Delaware corporation with its executive offices located at 300 Renaissance Center, Detroit, MI 48265. The Company's common

stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the ticker symbol “GM.”

27. Defendant Mary T. Barra (“Barra”) currently serves as the Company’s CEO and a member of its Board of Directors, having previously served in various roles at the Company, since at least 1985, including as GM’s Executive Vice President for Global Product Development.

28. Defendant Daniel Ammann (“Ammann”) currently serves as the Company’s President, having previously served in various roles at the Company, since at least 2010, including as GM’s Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

29. Defendant Alan S. Batey (“Batey”) currently serves as the Company’s Executive Vice President of GM North America, having previously served in various roles at the Company since at least 2006, including as GM’s Senior Vice President Global Chevrolet and Brand Chief and U.S. Sales and Marketing.

30. Defendant James B. DeLuca (“DeLuca”) currently serves as the Company’s Executive Vice President, Global Manufacturing, having previously served in various roles at the Company since at least 2007.

31. Defendant Daniel F. Akerson (“Akerson”) served as the Company’s Chief Executive Officer of GM during the relevant period, until his resignation in 2013.

32. The defendants referenced above in ¶¶ 27 – 31 are sometimes referred to herein as the “Individual Defendants.”

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

Background

33. GM is one of the nation’s oldest and largest car manufacturers. With a dealership network that spans the globe and over 200,000 employees, the Company plays a major role in the United States and international automobile market.

Materially False and Misleading Statements Issued During the Class Period

34. On April 7, 2010, the Company filed with the SEC an annual report on Form 10-K. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. The Company reported annual revenues of \$104.5 billion, and non-operating gains of \$130 billion, resulting in net income of \$104 billion. In addition, the Form 10-K contained signed certifications pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”) by Defendants Akerson and Ammann stating that the financial information contained in the Form 10-Q was accurate, and disclosed any material changes to the Company’s internal control over financial reporting, specifically representing that, “this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report.”

35. In its annual report, GM advised investors that it was poised to compete in the global automotive marketplace by promoting the safety, reliability and value of its vehicles. Moreover, the Company touted the principles upon which the Company competes:

The global automotive industry is highly competitive. The principal factors that determine consumer vehicle preferences in the markets in which we operate include price, quality, available options, style, safety, reliability, fuel economy and functionality. Market leadership in individual countries in which we compete varies widely.

36. The Company also advised investors of its obligations to protect the safety of car drivers and passengers under U.S. and foreign law including by notifying owners of potential car defects and instituting recalls, if necessary:

In the U.S. if a vehicle or vehicle equipment does not comply with a safety standard or if a vehicle defect creates an unreasonable safety risk the manufacturer is required to notify owners and provide a remedy. We are required to report certain information relating to certain customer complaints, warranty claims, field reports and notices and claims involving property damage, injuries and fatalities in the U.S. and claims involving fatalities outside the U.S. We are also required to report information concerning safety recalls and other safety campaigns outside the U.S.

Outside the U.S. safety standards and recall regulations often have the same purpose as the U.S. standards but may differ in their requirements and test procedures. Other countries pass regulations which are more stringent than U.S. standards. Many countries require type approval while the U.S. and Canada require self-certification.

37. On May 17, 2010, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2010. The Company reported a closing Product Warranty Liability balance, including provisions for recall campaigns, of \$6.7 billion, and also informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

38. On August 16, 2010, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010. The Company informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

39. On November 10, 2010, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010. The Company informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

40. On March 1, 2011, the Company filed with the SEC an annual report on Form 10-K. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. The Company reported annual revenues of \$135.5 billion, and net income of \$6.1 billion, resulting in diluted earnings per share of \$2.89. In addition, the Form 10-K

contained signed certifications pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”) by Defendants Akerson and Ammann stating that the financial information contained in the Form 10-Q was accurate, and disclosed any material changes to the Company’s internal control over financial reporting, and specifically stated, “this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report.”

41. In its annual report, GM advised investors that it was poised to compete in the global automotive marketplace by promoting the safety, reliability and value of its vehicles amongst various factors. The Company further touted the principles upon which the Company competes:

The global automotive industry is highly competitive. The principal factors that determine consumer vehicle preferences in the markets in which we operate include price, quality, available options, style, safety, reliability, fuel economy and functionality. Market leadership in individual countries in which we compete varies widely.

42. The Company also advised investors of its obligations to protect the safety of car drivers and passengers under U.S. and foreign law, including by notifying owners of potential car defects and potentially instituting a recall of defective vehicles:

In the U.S. if a vehicle or vehicle equipment does not comply with a safety standard or if a vehicle defect creates an unreasonable safety risk the manufacturer is required to notify owners and provide a remedy. We are required to report certain information relating to certain customer complaints, warranty claims, field reports and notices and claims involving property damage, injuries and fatalities in the U.S. and claims involving fatalities outside the U.S. We are also required to report information concerning safety recalls and other safety campaigns outside the U.S.

Outside the U.S. safety standards and recall regulations often have the same purpose as the U.S. standards but may differ in their requirements and test procedures. Other countries pass regulations which are more stringent than U.S. standards. Many countries require type approval while the U.S. and Canada require self-certification.

43. On May 6, 2011, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011. The Company reported a closing Product Warranty Liability balance, including provisions for recall campaigns, of \$6.7 billion and also informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

44. On August 5, 2011, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011. The Company reported a closing Product Warranty Liability balance, including provisions for recall campaigns, of \$6.9 billion and also informed investors that a critical component of

the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

45. On November 9, 2011, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011. The Company reported a closing Product Warranty Liability balance, including provisions for recall campaigns, of \$6.6 billion and also informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

46. On February 27, 2012, the Company filed with the SEC an annual report on Form 10-K. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The Company reported annual revenues of \$150.2 billion, and net income of \$9.1 billion, resulting in diluted earnings per share of \$4.58. In addition, the Form 10-K contained signed certifications pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("SOX") by Defendants Akerson and Ammann, stating that the financial information contained in the Form 10-Q was accurate, and disclosed any material changes to the Company's internal control over financial reporting, and specifically stated, "this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light

of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report.”

47. In its annual report, GM advised investors that it was poised to compete in the global automotive marketplace by promoting the safety, reliability and value of its vehicles amongst various factors. The Company further touted to investors the principles upon which the Company competes:

The global automotive industry is highly competitive. The principal factors that determine consumer vehicle preferences in the markets in which we operate include price, quality, available options, style, safety, reliability, fuel economy and functionality. Market leadership in individual countries in which we compete varies widely.

48. The Company also advised investors of its obligations to protect the safety of car drivers and passengers under U.S. and foreign law, including by notifying owners of potential car defects and potentially instituting a recall of defective vehicles:

In the U.S. if a vehicle or vehicle equipment does not comply with a safety standard or if a vehicle defect creates an unreasonable safety risk the manufacturer is required to notify owners and provide a remedy. We are required to report certain information relating to certain customer complaints, warranty claims, field reports and notices and claims involving property damage, injuries and fatalities in the U.S. and claims involving fatalities outside the U.S. We are also required to report information concerning safety recalls and other safety campaigns outside the U.S.

Outside the U.S. safety standards and recall regulations often have the same purpose as the U.S. standards but may differ in their requirements and test procedures. Other countries pass regulations which are more stringent than U.S. standards. Many countries require type approval while the U.S. and Canada require self-certification.

49. On May 3, 2012, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2012. The Company also informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

50. On August 3, 2012, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012. The Company reported a closing Product Warranty Liability balance, including provisions for recall campaigns, of \$6.8 billion and also informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

51. On October 31, 2012, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2012. The Company reported a closing Product Warranty Liability balance, including provisions for recall campaigns, of \$7.1 billion and also informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's

“ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls.”

52. On February 15, 2013, the Company filed with the SEC an annual report on Form 10-K. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. The Company reported annual revenues of \$152.2 billion, and net income of \$6.1 billion resulting in diluted earnings per share of \$2.92. In addition, the Form 10-K contained signed certifications pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”) by Defendants Akerson and Ammann stating that the financial information contained in the Form 10-Q was accurate, and disclosed any material changes to the Company’s internal control over financial reporting, and specifically stated, “this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report.”

53. In its annual report GM advised investors that it was poised to compete in the global automotive marketplace by promoting the safety, reliability and value of its vehicles amongst various factors. The Company further touted to investors the principles upon which the Company competes:

The global automotive industry is highly competitive. The principal factors that determine consumer vehicle preferences in the markets in which we operate include price, quality, available options, style, safety, reliability, fuel economy and functionality. Market leadership in individual countries in which we compete varies widely.

54. The Company also advised investors of its obligations to protect the safety of car drivers and passengers under U.S. and foreign law including by notifying owners of potential car defects and instituting recalls of defective vehicles, when necessary:

In the U.S. if a vehicle or vehicle equipment does not comply with a safety standard or if a vehicle defect creates an unreasonable safety risk the manufacturer is required to notify owners and provide a remedy. We are required to report certain information relating to certain customer complaints, warranty claims, field reports and notices and claims involving property damage, injuries and fatalities in the U.S. and claims involving fatalities outside the U.S. We are also required to report information concerning safety recalls and other safety campaigns outside the U.S.

Outside the U.S. safety standards and recall regulations often have the same purpose as the U.S. standards but may differ in their requirements and test procedures. Other countries pass regulations which are more stringent than U.S. standards. Many countries require type approval while the U.S. and Canada require self-certification.

55. On May 2, 2013, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2013. The Company reported a closing Product Warranty Liability balance, including provisions for recall

campaigns, of \$7.1 billion and also informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

56. On July 25, 2013, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2013. The Company reported a closing Product Warranty Liability balance, including provisions for recall campaigns, of \$6.7 billion and also informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

57. On October 30, 2013, the Company filed with the SEC a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2013. The Company reported a closing Product Warranty Liability balance, including provisions for recall campaigns, of \$7.1 billion and also informed investors that a critical component of the reliability of their financial statements was the Company's "ability to maintain quality control over our vehicles and avoid material vehicle recalls."

58. On February 6, 2014, the Company filed with the SEC an annual report on Form 10-K. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. The Company reported annual revenues of \$155.4 billion, and net income of \$5.3 billion, resulting in diluted earnings per share of \$2.38. In addition, the Form 10-K

contained signed certifications pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”) by Defendants Akerson and Ammann stating that the financial information contained in the Form 10-Q was accurate, and disclosed any material changes to the Company’s internal control over financial reporting, and specifically represented that “this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report.”

59. In its annual report, GM advised investors that it was poised to compete in the global automotive marketplace by promoting the safety, reliability and value of its vehicles amongst various factors. The Company further touted to investors the principles upon which the Company competes:

The global automotive industry is highly competitive. The principal factors that determine consumer vehicle preferences in the markets in which we operate include price, quality, available options, style, safety, reliability, fuel economy and functionality. Market leadership in individual countries in which we compete varies widely.

60. The Company also advised investors of its obligations to protect the safety of car drivers and passengers under U.S. and foreign law, including by notifying owners of potential car defects and potentially instituting recalls, when necessary:

In the U.S. if a vehicle or vehicle equipment does not comply with a safety standard or if a vehicle defect creates an unreasonable safety risk the manufacturer is required to notify owners and provide a remedy. We are required to report certain information relating to certain customer complaints, warranty claims, field reports and notices and claims involving property damage, injuries and fatalities in the U.S. and claims involving fatalities outside the U.S. We are also required to report information concerning safety recalls and other safety campaigns outside the U.S.

Outside the U.S. safety standards and recall regulations often have the same purpose as the U.S. standards but may differ in their requirements and test procedures. Other countries pass regulations which are more stringent than U.S. standards. Many countries require type approval while the U.S. and Canada require self-certification.

61. In addition, throughout the relevant period, the Company's website advertised the high safety ratings of GM vehicles, proclaiming:

Quality and safety are at the top of the agenda at GM, as we work on technology improvements in crash avoidance and crashworthiness to augment the post-event benefits of OnStar, like advanced automatic crash notification.

Understanding what you want and need from your vehicle helps GM proactively design and test features that help keep you safe and enjoy the drive. Our engineers thoroughly test our vehicles for durability, comfort and noise minimization before you think about them. The same quality process ensures our safety technology performs when you need it.

[Emphasis added.]

62. The statements referenced in ¶¶ 34 – 61 were materially false and misleading, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's

business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (1) GM was in breach of applicable industry and government regulations and policies concerning passenger and automotive safety; (2) the Company was subject to criminal and civil litigation and potentially devastating harm to its reputation and future revenues; (3) over three million of the Company's cars were defective and subject to recall; (4) the Company lacked adequate internal controls; (5) despite defendants' knowing of such potential harm to drivers and passengers, the Company refused to recall such vehicles for a an inexpensive fix to the cars' ignition switches, leading to at least twelve reported deaths and countless injuries; and, (6) as a result of the foregoing, the Company's statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

THE TRUTH SLOWLY EMERGES

63. On February 7, 2014, the Company notified the NHTSA of its decision to recall the 2005-2007 Chevrolet Cobalt and 2007 Pontiac G5 vehicles.

64. On this news, the Company's shares fell \$1.21 per share, or over 3% to close at \$34.90 per share on February 10, 2014.

65. Then, on February 24, 2014, the Company expanded the recall to include the 2003-2007 Saturn Ion, 2006-2007 Chevrolet HHR and Pontiac

Solstice, and 2007 Saturn Sky. In total, this initial recall included more than 1.65 million vehicles.

66. On March 11, 2014, the Company sent a letter to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (“NHTSA”) detailing the alleged issues with GM’s ignition switches, and submitted a chronology of the Company’s discovery of such problems and failure to properly advise consumers and regulators, leading to various injuries and deaths. This chronology included:

- 2004 - GM concedes it knew in 2004, before launching the 2005 Chevrolet Cobalt, that the ignition switch might inadvertently move from "run" to "accessory," stalling the engine and cutting power to safety systems. A company engineer noted the problem when testing the soon-to-be-launched car, and engineers proposed several solutions, but because of "lead time required, cost, and effectiveness of each" solution, none was adopted and the car went to market with the faulty switch.
- 2005 - a partial fix was proposed -- but not adopted. Engineers suggested a simple change in the key from a slot to a round hole to ease stress on the switch -- a solution GM later adopted – but initial approval was later cancelled. Instead, GM sent a bulletin to dealers telling them to modify existing keys with an insert and to advise owners to take extra items off their

keychains -- but only if customers came in complaining of stalling problem on the Cobalt. Only 474 owners got the inserts, GM warranty data showed.

- 2005 - GM was aware the ignition risk extended beyond the Cobalt. Later that year, an updated bulletin was sent to dealers, expanding the models and years of vehicles involved – including all built with the same switch.
- 2006 - GM approved a modified switch, but didn't make it so mechanics could identify it. The engineer in charge of the ignition switch approved a new design by supplier Delphi, but the new design continued to use the same part number as the one it replaced. That means it wouldn't have been obvious to the company or to a dealer or repair shop whether a switch was the older design or the, presumably safer, newer configuration.
- 2007 - GM gets first report of fatal crash. Federal safety officials tell GM that a 2005 fatal Cobalt crash involved a switch that malfunctioned and airbags that failed to deploy. GM said it didn't know about the crash until informed by the officials. Moreover, only at that point does GM assign an engineer to track Cobalt crashes where the airbags fail.
- 2009 - GM finally adopts new key design. Keys now are made in the manner first proposed in 2005 -- with a hole and not a slot.

- 2013 - GM determines the original switch wasn't made correctly. GM determines, now years after the fact, that the switches made before the 2006 modifications failed to meet its design specifications.
- On Feb. 13, 2014 - GM recalls the Cobalt and nearly identical Pontiac G5 -- less than half the cars using the potentially faulty ignition switch. On Feb. 25, it adds the rest of the vehicles with the part -- all those mentioned in prior bulletins to investors. All 1.62 million vehicles throughout North America will get a new ignition switch to make sure the car doesn't stall and lose its airbags.

67. On this news, the Company's shares fell \$1.91 to close on March 11, 2014 at \$35.18 per share, a one day decline of over 5%, on volume of over 41 million shares.

68. The Company's stock price continued to decline in the two subsequent trading sessions, after reports of a criminal investigation into GM's business practices was reported on March 11, 2013 after trading hours, as well as reports that the Company had discovered the defects in its ignition switch as far back as 2001. The Company's stock price fell a further \$1.09 or 3% per share, to close on March 13, 2014 at \$34.09.

69. On March 17, 2014, the Company issued a press release announcing that "GM redoubles safety efforts," and reported further recalls of vehicles

including over 1.5 million additional vehicles not previously recalled. The Company also announced a \$300 million charge in the first quarter of 2014 in order to deal with the recall campaigns.

PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

70. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise acquired GM securities during the Class Period (the "Class"); and were damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures. Excluded from the Class are defendants herein, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which defendants have or had a controlling interest.

71. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, GM securities were actively traded on the NYSE. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by GM or its transfer agent and may be notified of the

pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.

72. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members of the Class are similarly affected by defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal law that is complained of herein.

73. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.

74. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

- whether the federal securities laws were violated by defendants' acts as alleged herein;
- whether statements made by defendants to the investing public during the Class Period misrepresented material facts about the business, operations and management of GM;
- whether the Individual Defendants caused GM to issue false and misleading financial statements during the Class Period;
- whether defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and misleading financial statements;
- whether the prices of GM securities during the Class Period were artificially inflated because of the defendants' conduct complained of herein; and

- whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the proper measure of damages.

75. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

76. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance established by the fraud-on-the-market doctrine in that:

- defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material facts during the Class Period;
- the omissions and misrepresentations were material;
- GM securities are traded in efficient markets;
- the Company's shares were liquid and traded with moderate to heavy volume during the Class Period;
- the Company traded on the NYSE, and was covered by multiple analysts;
- the misrepresentations and omissions alleged would tend to induce a reasonable investor to misjudge the value of the Company's securities; and
- Plaintiff and members of the Class purchased and/or sold GM securities between the time the defendants failed to disclose or misrepresented material facts and the time the true facts were

disclosed, without knowledge of the omitted or misrepresented facts.

77. Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to a presumption of reliance upon the integrity of the market.

78. Alternatively, Plaintiffs and the members of the Class are entitled to the presumption of reliance established by the Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of the State of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128, 92 S. Ct. 2430 (1972), as Defendants omitted material information in their Class Period statements in violation of a duty to disclose such information, as detailed above.

COUNT I

(Against All Defendants For Violations of Section 10(b) And Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder)

79. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.

80. This Count is asserted against defendants and is based upon Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.

81. During the Class Period, defendants engaged in a plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, pursuant to which they knowingly or recklessly engaged in acts, transactions, practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon Plaintiff and the other members of the Class; made various

untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud in connection with the purchase and sale of securities. Such scheme was intended to, and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i) deceive the investing public, including Plaintiff and other Class members, as alleged herein; (ii) artificially inflate and maintain the market price of GM securities; and (iii) cause Plaintiff and other members of the Class to purchase GM securities and options at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan and course of conduct, defendants, and each of them, took the actions set forth herein.

82. Pursuant to the above plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, each of the defendants participated directly or indirectly in the preparation and/or issuance of the quarterly and annual reports, SEC filings, press releases and other statements and documents described above, including statements made to securities analysts and the media that were designed to influence the market for GM securities. Such reports, filings, releases and statements were materially false and misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information and misrepresented the truth about GM's finances and business prospects.

83. By virtue of their positions at GM, defendants had actual knowledge of the materially false and misleading statements and material omissions alleged

herein and intended thereby to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, defendants acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed or refused to ascertain and disclose such facts as would reveal the materially false and misleading nature of the statements made, although such facts were readily available to defendants. Said acts and omissions of defendants were committed willfully or with reckless disregard for the truth. In addition, each defendant knew or recklessly disregarded that material facts were being misrepresented or omitted as described above.

84. Information showing that defendants acted knowingly or with reckless disregard for the truth is peculiarly within defendants' knowledge and control. As the senior managers and/or directors of GM, the Individual Defendants had knowledge of the details of GM internal affairs.

85. The Individual Defendants are liable both directly and indirectly for the wrongs complained of herein. Because of their positions of control and authority, the Individual Defendants were able to and did, directly or indirectly, control the content of the statements of GM. As officers and/or directors of a publicly-held company, the Individual Defendants had a duty to disseminate timely, accurate, and truthful information with respect to GM's businesses, operations, future financial condition and future prospects. As a result of the dissemination of the aforementioned false and misleading reports, releases and public

statements, the market price of GM securities was artificially inflated throughout the Class Period. In ignorance of the adverse facts concerning GM's business and financial condition which were concealed by defendants, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class purchased GM securities at artificially inflated prices and relied upon the price of the securities, the integrity of the market for the securities and/or upon statements disseminated by defendants, and were damaged thereby.

86. During the Class Period, GM securities were traded on an active and efficient market. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, relying on the materially false and misleading statements described herein, which the defendants made, issued or caused to be disseminated, or relying upon the integrity of the market, purchased shares of GM securities at prices artificially inflated by defendants' wrongful conduct. Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class known the truth, they would not have purchased said securities, or would not have purchased them at the inflated prices that were paid. At the time of the purchases by Plaintiff and the Class, the true value of GM securities was substantially lower than the prices paid by Plaintiff and the other members of the Class. The market price of GM securities declined sharply upon public disclosure of the facts alleged herein to the injury of Plaintiff and Class members.

87. By reason of the conduct alleged herein, defendants knowingly or recklessly, directly or indirectly, have violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.

88. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases and sales of the Company's securities during the Class Period, upon the disclosure that the Company had been disseminating misrepresented financial statements to the investing public.

COUNT II

(Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act Against The Individual Defendants)

89. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

90. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the operation and management of GM, and conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of GM's business affairs. Because of their senior positions, they knew the adverse non-public information about GM's misstatement of income and expenses and false financial statements.

91. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the Individual Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information

with respect to GM's financial condition and results of operations, and to correct promptly any public statements issued by GM which had become materially false or misleading.

92. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the Individual Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports, press releases and public filings which GM disseminated in the marketplace during the Class Period concerning GM's results of operations. Throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants exercised their power and authority to cause GM to engage in the wrongful acts complained of herein. The Individual Defendants therefore, were "controlling persons" of GM within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in the unlawful conduct alleged which artificially inflated the market price of GM securities.

93. Each of the Individual Defendants, therefore, acted as a controlling person of GM. By reason of their senior management positions and/or being directors of GM, each of the Individual Defendants had the power to direct the actions of, and exercised the same to cause, GM to engage in the unlawful acts and conduct complained of herein. Each of the Individual Defendants exercised control over the general operations of GM and possessed the power to control the

specific activities which comprise the primary violations about which Plaintiff and the other members of the Class complain.

94. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by GM.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against defendants as follows:

A. Determining that the instant action may be maintained as a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiff as the Class representative;

B. Requiring defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class by reason of the acts and transactions alleged herein;

C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other members of the Class prejudgment and post-judgment interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and other costs; and

D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: March 21, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Patrick E. Cafferty

Patrick E. Cafferty

**CAFFERTY CLOBES MERIWETHER &
SPRENGEL LLP**

101 North Main Street, Suite 565

Ann Arbor, MI 48104

(734)769-2144

(734) 769-1207 (fax)

pcafferty@caffertyclobes.com

Mich. Bar No. P35613

POMERANTZ LLP

Jeremy A. Lieberman

Lesley F. Portnoy

600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, New York 10016

Telephone: (212) 661-1100

Facsimile: (212) 661-8665

jalieberman@pomlaw.com

lfpornoy@pomlaw.com

POMERANTZ LLP

Patrick V. Dahlstrom

Ten South LaSalle Street, Suite 3505

Chicago, Illinois 60603

Telephone: (312) 377-1181

Facsimile: (312) 377-1184

pdahlstrom@pomlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT
TO FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

1. I, George Pio, make this declaration pursuant to Section 27(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") and/or Section 21D(a)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

2. I have reviewed a Complaint against General Motors Company ("General Motors" or the "Company") and, authorize the filing of a comparable complaint on my behalf.

3. I did not purchase or acquire General Motors securities at the direction of plaintiffs' counsel or in order to participate in any private action arising under the Securities Act or Exchange Act.

4. I am willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of a Class of investors who purchased or acquired General Motors securities during the class period, including providing testimony at deposition and trial, if necessary. I understand that the Court has the authority to select the most adequate lead plaintiff in this action.

5. To the best of my current knowledge, the attached sheet lists all of my transactions in General Motors securities during the Class Period as specified in the Complaint.

6. During the three-year period preceding the date on which this Certification is signed, I have not sought to serve as a representative party on behalf of a class under the federal securities laws.

7. I agree not to accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of the class as set forth in the Complaint, beyond my pro rata share of any recovery, except such reasonable costs and expenses directly relating to the representation of the class as ordered or approved by the Court.

Page 1 of 4

8. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed March 14, 2014
(Date)

[Signature]
(Signature)

Esobus PTO
(Type or Print Name)

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
12/20/2010	PUR	500	\$33.6900
12/22/2010	PUR	25	\$33.2500
12/28/2010	PUR	295	\$33.6900
12/29/2010	PUR	350	\$35.0000
12/29/2010	PUR	360	\$34.9800
01/05/2011	PUR	400	\$36.8700
01/06/2011	PUR	1,520	\$37.5800
01/07/2011	PUR	300	\$37.8300
01/07/2011	PUR	415	\$37.1000
01/07/2011	PUR	1,700	\$37.8500
01/11/2011	PUR	177	\$39.3699
01/24/2011	SLD	900	\$37.5000
01/24/2011	SLD	3,212	\$37.4800
01/25/2011	PUR	5	\$37.1700
01/25/2011	PUR	300	\$36.8900
01/25/2011	PUR	3,400	\$37.2500
01/26/2011	PUR	407	\$37.4700
01/28/2011	SLD	272	\$37.7500
01/28/2011	SLD	500	\$37.8005
01/28/2011	SLD	1,000	\$37.7599
01/28/2011	SLD	2,340	\$37.8000
01/31/2011	PUR	500	\$38.1800
01/31/2011	PUR	3,500	\$38.1900
02/07/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.6500
02/07/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.6900
02/07/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.7000
02/07/2011	SLD	300	\$35.7950
02/07/2011	SLD	3,700	\$35.7900
02/07/2011	SLD	4,000	\$35.7900
02/07/2011	SLD	4,000	\$35.7500
02/08/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.2500
02/08/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.2500
02/08/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.3900
02/08/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.5800
02/08/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.6800
02/08/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.9700
02/08/2011	SLD	100	\$35.2900
02/08/2011	SLD	1,000	\$35.4100
02/08/2011	SLD	1,785	\$35.6100
02/08/2011	SLD	2,215	\$35.5900
02/08/2011	SLD	2,800	\$35.4200
02/08/2011	SLD	3,900	\$35.2600
02/08/2011	SLD	4,000	\$35.7500
02/08/2011	SLD	4,000	\$35.7100
02/08/2011	SLD	4,200	\$35.4200

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
02/09/2011	PUR	600	\$36.4100
02/09/2011	PUR	650	\$36.3500
02/09/2011	PUR	1,130	\$36.4000
02/09/2011	PUR	1,500	\$36.3400
02/09/2011	PUR	1,600	\$36.4900
02/09/2011	PUR	1,850	\$36.3300
02/09/2011	PUR	2,400	\$36.5000
02/09/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.2600
02/09/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.5000
02/09/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.5900
02/09/2011	SLD	500	\$36.4450
02/09/2011	SLD	763	\$36.5200
02/09/2011	SLD	1,100	\$36.4425
02/09/2011	SLD	1,141	\$36.4500
02/09/2011	SLD	1,259	\$36.4400
02/09/2011	SLD	1,730	\$36.6001
02/09/2011	SLD	3,237	\$36.5100
02/09/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.1200
02/09/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.2700
02/09/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.6000
02/10/2011	PUR	1,900	\$36.8300
02/10/2011	PUR	2,100	\$36.8400
02/10/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.7000
02/10/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.8100
02/10/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.8100
02/11/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.5800
02/11/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.8900
02/11/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.9300
02/11/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.6000
02/14/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.6900
02/14/2011	SLD	1,012	\$36.6400
02/14/2011	SLD	2,988	\$36.9000
02/15/2011	PUR	300	\$36.2000
02/15/2011	PUR	400	\$35.6775
02/15/2011	PUR	946	\$35.8700
02/15/2011	PUR	2,654	\$35.6800
02/15/2011	PUR	3,700	\$36.2100
02/15/2011	SLD	200	\$36.2425
02/15/2011	SLD	3,800	\$36.2400
02/15/2011	SLD	4,000	\$35.7300
02/16/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.2000
02/16/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.2000
02/16/2011	SLD	4,000	\$35.8100
02/16/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.2100
02/17/2011	PUR	600	\$36.1800

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
02/17/2011	PUR	800	\$36.2300
02/17/2011	PUR	1,900	\$36.3200
02/17/2011	PUR	2,100	\$36.3300
02/17/2011	PUR	2,600	\$36.2400
02/17/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.2200
02/17/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.3800
02/18/2011	PUR	100	\$35.9900
02/18/2011	PUR	3,900	\$36.0000
02/18/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.0200
02/22/2011	PUR	1,300	\$36.7200
02/22/2011	PUR	2,700	\$36.7300
02/22/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.3100
02/22/2011	SLD	112	\$36.3200
02/22/2011	SLD	3,888	\$36.3400
02/22/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.1100
02/23/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.4100
02/23/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.3400
02/24/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.5000
02/24/2011	PUR	4,000	\$36.5400
02/24/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.5800
02/24/2011	SLD	4,000	\$36.5500
02/25/2011	PUR	1,223	\$35.5400
02/25/2011	PUR	2,777	\$35.5500
02/25/2011	SLD	4,000	\$35.6300
02/28/2011	PUR	4,000	\$34.4500
02/28/2011	PUR	4,000	\$34.4900
02/28/2011	PUR	4,000	\$34.6200
02/28/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.2100
02/28/2011	PUR	4,000	\$35.7300
02/28/2011	SLD	1,700	\$34.6400
02/28/2011	SLD	2,300	\$34.6500
02/28/2011	SLD	4,000	\$35.8700
02/28/2011	SLD	4,000	\$35.3100
02/28/2011	SLD	4,000	\$34.5000
02/28/2011	SLD	4,000	\$34.5000
03/01/2011	PUR	1,300	\$34.7000
03/01/2011	PUR	1,900	\$33.4600
03/01/2011	PUR	2,100	\$33.4500
03/01/2011	PUR	2,700	\$34.6999
03/01/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.7000
03/01/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.7000
03/01/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.7100
03/01/2011	PUR	4,000	\$33.2700
03/01/2011	PUR	4,000	\$33.3000
03/01/2011	SLD	100	\$32.9525

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
03/01/2011	SLD	1,400	\$32.9400
03/01/2011	SLD	2,500	\$32.9500
03/01/2011	SLD	4,000	\$34.5500
03/01/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.1100
03/01/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.4500
03/01/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.3000
03/01/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.0650
03/01/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.7500
03/01/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.7200
03/02/2011	PUR	200	\$33.8300
03/02/2011	PUR	800	\$33.3650
03/02/2011	PUR	1,500	\$33.5650
03/02/2011	PUR	1,800	\$33.2100
03/02/2011	PUR	2,200	\$33.2050
03/02/2011	PUR	2,500	\$33.5700
03/02/2011	PUR	3,200	\$33.3700
03/02/2011	PUR	3,800	\$33.6700
03/02/2011	PUR	4,000	\$33.6800
03/02/2011	PUR	4,000	\$33.7100
03/02/2011	PUR	4,000	\$33.7600
03/02/2011	PUR	4,000	\$33.8800
03/02/2011	SLD	25	\$33.9500
03/02/2011	SLD	35	\$33.7000
03/02/2011	SLD	200	\$33.7500
03/02/2011	SLD	1,600	\$33.3600
03/02/2011	SLD	1,700	\$33.9400
03/02/2011	SLD	2,275	\$33.9300
03/02/2011	SLD	2,400	\$33.3700
03/02/2011	SLD	3,800	\$33.7400
03/02/2011	SLD	3,965	\$33.6800
03/02/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.8200
03/02/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.5700
03/02/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.4700
03/03/2011	PUR	600	\$33.3400
03/03/2011	PUR	700	\$33.3700
03/03/2011	PUR	900	\$33.3600
03/03/2011	PUR	1,200	\$33.3200
03/03/2011	PUR	1,300	\$33.3100
03/03/2011	PUR	2,400	\$33.3800
03/03/2011	PUR	2,700	\$33.3200
03/03/2011	PUR	2,800	\$33.3300
03/03/2011	PUR	3,400	\$33.3600
03/03/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.9600
03/03/2011	PUR	4,000	\$33.3700
03/03/2011	SLD	500	\$33.3900

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
03/03/2011	SLD	507	\$33.4400
03/03/2011	SLD	1,193	\$33.3200
03/03/2011	SLD	1,900	\$33.1200
03/03/2011	SLD	2,100	\$33.0200
03/03/2011	SLD	2,300	\$33.4300
03/03/2011	SLD	3,500	\$33.3800
03/03/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.9800
03/03/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.3400
03/03/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.3400
03/04/2011	PUR	4,000	\$33.3100
03/04/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.4400
03/07/2011	PUR	800	\$32.8600
03/07/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.7700
03/07/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.7800
03/07/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.7900
03/07/2011	SLD	100	\$32.7925
03/07/2011	SLD	800	\$32.9800
03/07/2011	SLD	1,600	\$32.7900
03/07/2011	SLD	2,300	\$32.7800
03/07/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.9600
03/07/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.8100
03/08/2011	PUR	438	\$33.0000
03/08/2011	PUR	3,562	\$33.0000
03/08/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.9200
03/09/2011	PUR	500	\$32.5900
03/09/2011	PUR	1,480	\$32.3300
03/09/2011	PUR	1,700	\$32.4000
03/09/2011	PUR	2,300	\$32.4100
03/09/2011	PUR	2,520	\$32.3500
03/09/2011	PUR	3,500	\$32.6000
03/09/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.0600
03/09/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.2800
03/09/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.7100
03/09/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.8100
03/09/2011	SLD	100	\$32.3825
03/09/2011	SLD	100	\$32.2200
03/09/2011	SLD	100	\$32.0725
03/09/2011	SLD	3,900	\$32.3800
03/09/2011	SLD	3,900	\$32.2100
03/09/2011	SLD	3,900	\$32.0700
03/09/2011	SLD	4,000	\$33.0000
03/09/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.7900
03/09/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.4900
03/09/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.4000
03/09/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.3000

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
03/10/2011	PUR	100	\$31.6175
03/10/2011	PUR	900	\$31.6400
03/10/2011	PUR	1,900	\$31.6200
03/10/2011	PUR	2,000	\$31.6300
03/10/2011	PUR	2,000	\$31.6400
03/10/2011	PUR	2,000	\$32.0600
03/10/2011	SLD	600	\$31.7500
03/10/2011	SLD	700	\$31.6600
03/10/2011	SLD	700	\$31.6700
03/10/2011	SLD	800	\$31.6300
03/10/2011	SLD	900	\$31.7000
03/10/2011	SLD	1,200	\$31.6200
03/10/2011	SLD	2,000	\$31.6400
03/10/2011	SLD	2,000	\$31.7000
03/11/2011	PUR	100	\$32.7475
03/11/2011	PUR	200	\$32.7650
03/11/2011	PUR	300	\$32.7700
03/11/2011	PUR	425	\$32.7500
03/11/2011	PUR	600	\$32.6900
03/11/2011	PUR	1,000	\$32.7100
03/11/2011	PUR	1,000	\$32.7500
03/11/2011	PUR	2,400	\$32.7200
03/11/2011	PUR	3,400	\$32.7500
03/11/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.6000
03/11/2011	SLD	55	\$32.7200
03/11/2011	SLD	100	\$32.7200
03/11/2011	SLD	100	\$32.7200
03/11/2011	SLD	100	\$32.7200
03/11/2011	SLD	100	\$32.7200
03/11/2011	SLD	100	\$32.7200
03/11/2011	SLD	245	\$32.7200
03/11/2011	SLD	600	\$32.5600
03/11/2011	SLD	700	\$32.7200
03/11/2011	SLD	1,000	\$32.5500
03/11/2011	SLD	2,400	\$32.5700
03/11/2011	SLD	2,500	\$32.7200
03/11/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.7400
03/14/2011	PUR	100	\$32.2700
03/14/2011	PUR	100	\$32.6775
03/14/2011	PUR	200	\$32.2900
03/14/2011	PUR	1,700	\$32.2800
03/14/2011	PUR	1,900	\$32.5600
03/14/2011	PUR	2,100	\$32.5500
03/14/2011	PUR	2,575	\$32.7400
03/14/2011	PUR	3,900	\$32.6800

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
03/14/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.2600
03/14/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.5000
03/14/2011	PUR	4,000	\$32.5400
03/14/2011	SLD	200	\$32.5900
03/14/2011	SLD	500	\$32.2300
03/14/2011	SLD	1,000	\$32.4700
03/14/2011	SLD	1,500	\$32.2400
03/14/2011	SLD	2,800	\$32.4800
03/14/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.6900
03/14/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.5900
03/14/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.5600
03/14/2011	SLD	4,000	\$32.3800
03/15/2011	PUR	4,000	\$31.4800
03/15/2011	SLD	4,000	\$31.3900
03/15/2011	SLD	4,000	\$31.3900
03/16/2011	PUR	1,114	\$31.3000
03/16/2011	PUR	1,136	\$31.4000
03/16/2011	PUR	2,250	\$31.3500
03/16/2011	PUR	2,250	\$31.4400
03/16/2011	PUR	2,250	\$31.4500
03/16/2011	SLD	2,250	\$31.4000
03/16/2011	SLD	2,250	\$31.4000
03/16/2011	SLD	2,250	\$31.4400
03/16/2011	SLD	2,250	\$31.4600
03/17/2011	PUR	15	\$31.6100
03/17/2011	PUR	100	\$31.8375
03/17/2011	PUR	100	\$31.8875
03/17/2011	PUR	300	\$31.6100
03/17/2011	PUR	300	\$31.6100
03/17/2011	PUR	500	\$31.5100
03/17/2011	PUR	700	\$31.6299
03/17/2011	PUR	1,300	\$31.8900
03/17/2011	PUR	1,500	\$31.5200
03/17/2011	PUR	1,600	\$31.8871
03/17/2011	PUR	2,900	\$31.8400
03/17/2011	PUR	3,000	\$31.9800
03/17/2011	SLD	35	\$31.7200
03/17/2011	SLD	100	\$31.9125
03/17/2011	SLD	280	\$31.6000
03/17/2011	SLD	500	\$31.9100
03/17/2011	SLD	2,100	\$31.8500
03/17/2011	SLD	3,000	\$31.7700
03/17/2011	SLD	3,300	\$31.8400
03/18/2011	SLD	3,000	\$32.0600
03/21/2011	PUR	100	\$32.2000

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
03/21/2011	PUR	300	\$31.7700
03/21/2011	PUR	500	\$31.9000
03/21/2011	PUR	700	\$31.7900
03/21/2011	PUR	2,400	\$31.7800
03/21/2011	PUR	2,900	\$32.2200
03/21/2011	PUR	3,500	\$31.5000
03/21/2011	SLD	100	\$31.4400
03/21/2011	SLD	3,400	\$31.4300
03/21/2011	SLD	3,400	\$31.9000
03/22/2011	PUR	500	\$32.1800
03/22/2011	SLD	500	\$32.1801
03/23/2011	PUR	68	\$31.8300
03/23/2011	PUR	100	\$31.7100
03/23/2011	PUR	100	\$31.8300
03/23/2011	PUR	175	\$31.7100
03/23/2011	PUR	200	\$31.8300
03/23/2011	PUR	800	\$31.8000
03/23/2011	PUR	2,057	\$31.8000
03/23/2011	SLD	3,500	\$31.8000
03/25/2011	PUR	100	\$30.6800
03/25/2011	PUR	600	\$30.6500
03/25/2011	PUR	1,500	\$30.8000
03/25/2011	PUR	1,980	\$30.8000
03/25/2011	SLD	100	\$30.8000
03/25/2011	SLD	600	\$30.6901
03/25/2011	SLD	3,500	\$30.6700
03/29/2011	PUR	5	\$31.3600
03/29/2011	PUR	295	\$31.4900
03/29/2011	PUR	1,400	\$31.4700
03/29/2011	PUR	1,800	\$31.4800
03/29/2011	PUR	3,480	\$31.4700
03/29/2011	SLD	400	\$31.4950
03/29/2011	SLD	3,080	\$31.4900
03/29/2011	SLD	3,480	\$31.4800
03/30/2011	PUR	500	\$31.3900
03/30/2011	PUR	3,000	\$31.4000
03/30/2011	PUR	3,500	\$31.4600
03/30/2011	SLD	100	\$31.3850
03/30/2011	SLD	200	\$31.4900
03/30/2011	SLD	500	\$31.3999
03/30/2011	SLD	2,900	\$31.3800
03/30/2011	SLD	3,300	\$31.5000
04/06/2011	PUR	1,700	\$32.2900
04/06/2011	PUR	1,800	\$32.3000
04/06/2011	PUR	3,500	\$31.2500

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
04/06/2011	SLD	400	\$31.2600
04/06/2011	SLD	600	\$31.2700
04/06/2011	SLD	2,500	\$31.2500
04/06/2011	SLD	3,500	\$32.3200
04/08/2011	PUR	100	\$32.7275
04/08/2011	PUR	100	\$32.7400
04/08/2011	PUR	3,300	\$32.7300
04/08/2011	SLD	3,500	\$32.7800
04/20/2011	PUR	100	\$30.3975
04/20/2011	PUR	900	\$30.4100
04/20/2011	PUR	2,500	\$30.4000
04/20/2011	SLD	3,500	\$30.4500
04/21/2011	PUR	100	\$30.0500
04/21/2011	PUR	3,400	\$30.0600
04/21/2011	SLD	300	\$30.0601
04/21/2011	SLD	752	\$30.0600
04/21/2011	SLD	2,448	\$30.0500
04/25/2011	PUR	100	\$29.3200
04/25/2011	PUR	100	\$29.7850
04/25/2011	PUR	200	\$29.7875
04/25/2011	PUR	400	\$29.3350
04/25/2011	PUR	3,100	\$29.3400
04/25/2011	PUR	3,155	\$29.7900
04/25/2011	PUR	3,400	\$29.3199
04/25/2011	PUR	3,500	\$29.5700
04/25/2011	SLD	25	\$29.5900
04/25/2011	SLD	67	\$29.5500
04/25/2011	SLD	100	\$29.3501
04/25/2011	SLD	400	\$29.3550
04/25/2011	SLD	500	\$29.3401
04/25/2011	SLD	1,400	\$29.3600
04/25/2011	SLD	1,600	\$29.3500
04/25/2011	SLD	3,000	\$29.3400
04/25/2011	SLD	3,408	\$29.6000
04/25/2011	SLD	3,500	\$29.3500
04/26/2011	PUR	100	\$29.6275
04/26/2011	PUR	173	\$29.9100
04/26/2011	PUR	200	\$30.2500
04/26/2011	PUR	500	\$29.5999
04/26/2011	PUR	1,521	\$29.6400
04/26/2011	PUR	1,879	\$29.6300
04/26/2011	PUR	3,000	\$29.6000
04/26/2011	PUR	3,500	\$29.5900
04/26/2011	PUR	3,500	\$29.7200
04/26/2011	PUR	3,500	\$29.7299

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
04/26/2011	PUR	3,500	\$29.9600
04/26/2011	SLD	173	\$29.9900
04/26/2011	SLD	200	\$30.3800
04/26/2011	SLD	1,500	\$29.7200
04/26/2011	SLD	2,000	\$29.7265
04/26/2011	SLD	3,455	\$29.8100
04/26/2011	SLD	3,500	\$29.6400
04/26/2011	SLD	3,500	\$29.6400
04/26/2011	SLD	3,500	\$29.7400
04/26/2011	SLD	3,500	\$30.0300
04/26/2011	SLD	3,500	\$29.9300
04/27/2011	PUR	20	\$30.9700
04/27/2011	PUR	50	\$30.9400
04/27/2011	PUR	65	\$30.9700
04/27/2011	PUR	89	\$30.9800
04/27/2011	PUR	100	\$30.9501
04/27/2011	PUR	220	\$30.9500
04/27/2011	PUR	250	\$30.9000
04/27/2011	PUR	250	\$30.9300
04/27/2011	PUR	280	\$30.9400
04/27/2011	PUR	300	\$30.9000
04/27/2011	PUR	300	\$30.9100
04/27/2011	PUR	399	\$30.9500
04/27/2011	PUR	400	\$30.9800
04/27/2011	PUR	599	\$30.9400
04/27/2011	PUR	700	\$30.9200
04/27/2011	PUR	1,304	\$30.8800
04/27/2011	PUR	1,373	\$30.9400
04/27/2011	PUR	2,430	\$30.9300
04/27/2011	PUR	3,315	\$30.9800
04/27/2011	SLD	54	\$31.0200
04/27/2011	SLD	80	\$31.0000
04/27/2011	SLD	100	\$31.0200
04/27/2011	SLD	100	\$31.0000
04/27/2011	SLD	100	\$31.0000
04/27/2011	SLD	100	\$31.0000
04/27/2011	SLD	100	\$31.0000
04/27/2011	SLD	200	\$31.0000
04/27/2011	SLD	600	\$31.0000
04/27/2011	SLD	1,400	\$31.0200
04/27/2011	SLD	1,724	\$30.9600
04/27/2011	SLD	1,756	\$30.9650
04/27/2011	SLD	2,500	\$31.0000
04/27/2011	SLD	3,380	\$30.9500

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
04/28/2011	PUR	2	\$31.1100
04/28/2011	PUR	165	\$30.5100
04/28/2011	PUR	400	\$30.4500
04/28/2011	PUR	458	\$31.1000
04/28/2011	PUR	500	\$30.5300
04/28/2011	PUR	550	\$30.4600
04/28/2011	PUR	2,400	\$30.4700
04/28/2011	PUR	2,685	\$30.5250
04/28/2011	PUR	3,350	\$30.4300
04/28/2011	PUR	3,350	\$30.7500
04/28/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.0100
04/28/2011	SLD	15	\$30.6800
04/28/2011	SLD	50	\$31.0600
04/28/2011	SLD	60	\$31.1800
04/28/2011	SLD	100	\$31.0500
04/28/2011	SLD	100	\$30.7425
04/28/2011	SLD	200	\$31.1800
04/28/2011	SLD	200	\$31.2000
04/28/2011	SLD	246	\$30.5200
04/28/2011	SLD	300	\$30.6900
04/28/2011	SLD	1,354	\$30.5300
04/28/2011	SLD	1,750	\$30.5400
04/28/2011	SLD	3,035	\$30.6500
04/28/2011	SLD	3,250	\$30.7400
04/28/2011	SLD	3,350	\$30.4500
04/28/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.1500
04/29/2011	PUR	11	\$31.2800
04/29/2011	PUR	20	\$31.2400
04/29/2011	PUR	78	\$31.2500
04/29/2011	PUR	100	\$31.2400
04/29/2011	PUR	100	\$31.2500
04/29/2011	PUR	100	\$31.2500
04/29/2011	PUR	100	\$31.4001
04/29/2011	PUR	141	\$31.2400
04/29/2011	PUR	200	\$31.2000
04/29/2011	PUR	389	\$31.2500
04/29/2011	PUR	800	\$31.2400
04/29/2011	PUR	1,411	\$31.2500
04/29/2011	PUR	3,250	\$31.4100
04/29/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.2700
05/02/2011	PUR	100	\$31.3650
05/02/2011	PUR	450	\$31.3800
05/02/2011	PUR	600	\$31.4400
05/02/2011	PUR	740	\$31.6700
05/02/2011	PUR	2,610	\$31.6800

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
05/02/2011	PUR	2,750	\$31.4500
05/02/2011	PUR	2,800	\$31.3700
05/02/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.5200
05/02/2011	SLD	100	\$31.4550
05/02/2011	SLD	400	\$31.4700
05/02/2011	SLD	750	\$31.4600
05/02/2011	SLD	2,100	\$31.4500
05/02/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.4500
05/02/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.4000
05/02/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.5200
05/03/2011	PUR	115	\$31.9936
05/03/2011	PUR	200	\$32.0290
05/03/2011	PUR	300	\$31.8175
05/03/2011	PUR	330	\$31.8999
05/03/2011	PUR	3,020	\$31.9000
05/03/2011	PUR	3,035	\$32.0300
05/03/2011	PUR	3,050	\$31.8200
05/03/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.5800
05/03/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.7000
05/03/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.9699
05/03/2011	SLD	96	\$31.8000
05/03/2011	SLD	100	\$31.7800
05/03/2011	SLD	300	\$31.7501
05/03/2011	SLD	300	\$31.7600
05/03/2011	SLD	504	\$31.7999
05/03/2011	SLD	1,000	\$31.8200
05/03/2011	SLD	2,050	\$31.7500
05/03/2011	SLD	2,350	\$31.8100
05/03/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.7200
05/03/2011	SLD	3,350	\$32.0400
05/03/2011	SLD	3,350	\$32.0100
05/03/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.9100
05/04/2011	PUR	550	\$31.9980
05/04/2011	PUR	1,300	\$32.4300
05/04/2011	PUR	2,000	\$32.4400
05/04/2011	PUR	2,800	\$32.0000
05/04/2011	SLD	50	\$32.0200
05/04/2011	SLD	3,300	\$32.0100
05/04/2011	SLD	3,300	\$32.5800
05/05/2011	PUR	1,000	\$31.9300
05/05/2011	PUR	1,700	\$32.0899
05/05/2011	PUR	2,350	\$31.9299
05/05/2011	SLD	200	\$32.1325
05/05/2011	SLD	776	\$31.9700
05/05/2011	SLD	1,500	\$32.1300

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
05/05/2011	SLD	2,574	\$31.9800
05/06/2011	PUR	50	\$32.9700
05/06/2011	PUR	60	\$33.0100
05/06/2011	PUR	70	\$32.9700
05/06/2011	PUR	100	\$32.8400
05/06/2011	PUR	100	\$32.9075
05/06/2011	PUR	100	\$32.9700
05/06/2011	PUR	100	\$33.0475
05/06/2011	PUR	200	\$32.8800
05/06/2011	PUR	200	\$32.9375
05/06/2011	PUR	200	\$33.0100
05/06/2011	PUR	212	\$32.9700
05/06/2011	PUR	258	\$32.9700
05/06/2011	PUR	330	\$32.8510
05/06/2011	PUR	340	\$33.0100
05/06/2011	PUR	450	\$33.0500
05/06/2011	PUR	800	\$32.8590
05/06/2011	PUR	920	\$32.8600
05/06/2011	PUR	960	\$33.0300
05/06/2011	PUR	1,100	\$33.0100
05/06/2011	PUR	1,300	\$32.8500
05/06/2011	PUR	1,860	\$32.9200
05/06/2011	PUR	2,800	\$33.0600
05/06/2011	PUR	3,150	\$32.8900
05/06/2011	PUR	3,150	\$32.9400
05/06/2011	PUR	3,250	\$32.8500
05/06/2011	PUR	3,250	\$32.9100
05/06/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.8600
05/06/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.8900
05/06/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.9200
05/06/2011	PUR	3,350	\$33.0400
05/06/2011	SLD	100	\$33.1050
05/06/2011	SLD	100	\$32.9425
05/06/2011	SLD	100	\$32.9000
05/06/2011	SLD	300	\$32.5500
05/06/2011	SLD	450	\$32.8700
05/06/2011	SLD	450	\$32.9350
05/06/2011	SLD	850	\$32.9200
05/06/2011	SLD	1,600	\$32.9400
05/06/2011	SLD	1,650	\$32.9450
05/06/2011	SLD	1,860	\$33.0800
05/06/2011	SLD	2,500	\$32.9300
05/06/2011	SLD	2,900	\$32.8701
05/06/2011	SLD	2,900	\$32.9300
05/06/2011	SLD	3,050	\$32.5200

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
05/06/2011	SLD	3,250	\$33.1000
05/06/2011	SLD	3,250	\$32.8900
05/06/2011	SLD	3,350	\$32.9001
05/06/2011	SLD	3,350	\$32.9001
05/06/2011	SLD	3,350	\$32.9600
05/06/2011	SLD	3,350	\$33.0100
05/09/2011	PUR	50	\$33.3300
05/09/2011	PUR	300	\$33.0650
05/09/2011	PUR	600	\$33.2500
05/09/2011	PUR	1,100	\$32.7400
05/09/2011	PUR	1,250	\$33.0700
05/09/2011	PUR	1,800	\$33.0699
05/09/2011	PUR	2,250	\$32.7500
05/09/2011	PUR	2,750	\$33.2400
05/09/2011	PUR	3,300	\$33.3500
05/09/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.9100
05/09/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.9600
05/09/2011	PUR	3,350	\$33.3200
05/09/2011	SLD	100	\$32.9750
05/09/2011	SLD	100	\$32.9125
05/09/2011	SLD	100	\$32.9150
05/09/2011	SLD	100	\$33.1800
05/09/2011	SLD	100	\$33.4200
05/09/2011	SLD	122	\$33.1899
05/09/2011	SLD	350	\$32.9950
05/09/2011	SLD	500	\$32.9800
05/09/2011	SLD	1,050	\$32.7400
05/09/2011	SLD	1,100	\$32.9900
05/09/2011	SLD	1,300	\$32.9700
05/09/2011	SLD	2,300	\$32.7500
05/09/2011	SLD	3,128	\$33.1700
05/09/2011	SLD	3,150	\$32.9100
05/09/2011	SLD	3,250	\$33.4100
05/09/2011	SLD	3,350	\$33.3600
05/09/2011	SLD	3,350	\$32.8900
05/09/2011	SLD	3,350	\$33.0500
05/10/2011	PUR	100	\$31.8350
05/10/2011	PUR	100	\$31.9475
05/10/2011	PUR	100	\$31.9600
05/10/2011	PUR	116	\$32.0936
05/10/2011	PUR	200	\$32.2100
05/10/2011	PUR	900	\$32.2400
05/10/2011	PUR	2,250	\$32.2300
05/10/2011	PUR	3,150	\$31.9500
05/10/2011	PUR	3,234	\$32.1100

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
05/10/2011	PUR	3,250	\$31.8400
05/10/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.9200
05/10/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.1700
05/10/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.3800
05/10/2011	PUR	3,350	\$33.1800
05/10/2011	SLD	10	\$31.6500
05/10/2011	SLD	50	\$31.9600
05/10/2011	SLD	100	\$31.6899
05/10/2011	SLD	100	\$31.7003
05/10/2011	SLD	100	\$31.7264
05/10/2011	SLD	100	\$31.8525
05/10/2011	SLD	200	\$31.6499
05/10/2011	SLD	200	\$31.6800
05/10/2011	SLD	200	\$31.7100
05/10/2011	SLD	200	\$31.7400
05/10/2011	SLD	300	\$31.9400
05/10/2011	SLD	300	\$31.9825
05/10/2011	SLD	386	\$31.9499
05/10/2011	SLD	400	\$32.2650
05/10/2011	SLD	504	\$31.8700
05/10/2011	SLD	800	\$32.2900
05/10/2011	SLD	1,000	\$31.9500
05/10/2011	SLD	1,550	\$31.9800
05/10/2011	SLD	1,800	\$31.9900
05/10/2011	SLD	2,550	\$32.3000
05/10/2011	SLD	2,950	\$32.2629
05/10/2011	SLD	3,050	\$31.9800
05/10/2011	SLD	3,250	\$31.8500
05/10/2011	SLD	3,350	\$32.2400
05/11/2011	PUR	150	\$32.1300
05/11/2011	PUR	286	\$32.2100
05/11/2011	PUR	540	\$32.1000
05/11/2011	PUR	2,810	\$32.0900
05/11/2011	PUR	3,064	\$32.2200
05/11/2011	PUR	3,200	\$32.1200
05/11/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.9700
05/11/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.0100
05/11/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.0100
05/11/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.1000
05/11/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.1900
05/11/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.2900
05/11/2011	PUR	3,350	\$32.3000
05/11/2011	SLD	100	\$32.3225
05/11/2011	SLD	100	\$32.1825
05/11/2011	SLD	100	\$32.1350

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
05/11/2011	SLD	100	\$31.9825
05/11/2011	SLD	1,050	\$32.1700
05/11/2011	SLD	1,100	\$32.2400
05/11/2011	SLD	1,125	\$32.1700
05/11/2011	SLD	1,540	\$32.0700
05/11/2011	SLD	1,650	\$32.2400
05/11/2011	SLD	1,700	\$32.2500
05/11/2011	SLD	1,810	\$32.0600
05/11/2011	SLD	2,200	\$32.1800
05/11/2011	SLD	2,225	\$32.1800
05/11/2011	SLD	2,250	\$32.2300
05/11/2011	SLD	3,250	\$32.3200
05/11/2011	SLD	3,250	\$32.1300
05/11/2011	SLD	3,250	\$31.9800
05/11/2011	SLD	3,350	\$32.1201
05/11/2011	SLD	3,350	\$32.1100
05/13/2011	SLD	1,350	\$31.4500
05/13/2011	SLD	2,000	\$31.4600
05/16/2011	PUR	100	\$31.1975
05/16/2011	PUR	110	\$31.2100
05/16/2011	PUR	300	\$31.1950
05/16/2011	PUR	300	\$31.2575
05/16/2011	PUR	1,200	\$31.2800
05/16/2011	PUR	2,150	\$31.2900
05/16/2011	PUR	2,950	\$31.2000
05/16/2011	PUR	3,050	\$31.2600
05/16/2011	PUR	3,240	\$31.2000
05/16/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.1199
05/16/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.2700
05/16/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.4400
05/16/2011	SLD	100	\$31.2025
05/16/2011	SLD	200	\$31.2925
05/16/2011	SLD	396	\$31.2800
05/16/2011	SLD	900	\$31.2400
05/16/2011	SLD	1,600	\$31.1600
05/16/2011	SLD	1,750	\$31.1700
05/16/2011	SLD	2,450	\$31.2300
05/16/2011	SLD	2,754	\$31.2900
05/16/2011	SLD	3,250	\$31.2000
05/16/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.2800
05/16/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.3000
05/17/2011	PUR	200	\$31.3600
05/17/2011	PUR	3,150	\$31.3500
05/17/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.1700
05/17/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.3500

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
05/17/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.3600
05/17/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.4500
05/17/2011	SLD	25	\$31.6300
05/17/2011	SLD	50	\$31.5900
05/17/2011	SLD	50	\$31.6500
05/17/2011	SLD	100	\$31.5900
05/17/2011	SLD	100	\$31.6000
05/17/2011	SLD	100	\$31.6000
05/17/2011	SLD	100	\$31.6000
05/17/2011	SLD	100	\$31.6000
05/17/2011	SLD	100	\$31.6500
05/17/2011	SLD	125	\$31.6000
05/17/2011	SLD	200	\$31.6000
05/17/2011	SLD	200	\$31.6000
05/17/2011	SLD	200	\$31.6200
05/17/2011	SLD	400	\$31.6000
05/17/2011	SLD	400	\$31.6200
05/17/2011	SLD	450	\$31.3900
05/17/2011	SLD	500	\$31.5900
05/17/2011	SLD	600	\$31.6500
05/17/2011	SLD	900	\$31.3950
05/17/2011	SLD	2,000	\$31.3901
05/17/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.1800
05/17/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.2800
05/17/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.3700
05/17/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.4001
05/18/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.5300
05/18/2011	SLD	100	\$22.2400
05/18/2011	SLD	700	\$22.2400
05/21/2011	PUR	100	\$22.3299
05/21/2011	PUR	200	\$22.2500
05/21/2011	PUR	200	\$22.3275
05/21/2011	PUR	500	\$22.3300
05/21/2011	PUR	600	\$22.2300
05/21/2011	SLD	200	\$22.4425
05/21/2011	SLD	200	\$22.4450
05/21/2011	SLD	400	\$22.4400
05/24/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.6000
05/24/2011	SLD	300	\$31.7200
05/24/2011	SLD	300	\$31.7300
05/24/2011	SLD	700	\$31.7600
05/24/2011	SLD	2,050	\$31.7500
05/29/2011	PUR	200	\$21.9300
05/29/2011	PUR	600	\$22.0100
05/29/2011	SLD	800	\$21.9000

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
05/30/2011	PUR	100	\$22.0300
05/30/2011	PUR	900	\$22.0275
05/30/2011	PUR	1,000	\$22.0400
05/30/2011	SLD	300	\$22.0625
05/30/2011	SLD	700	\$22.0650
05/30/2011	SLD	1,000	\$22.1300
05/30/2011	SLD	1,000	\$22.0900
05/31/2011	PUR	30	\$22.0200
05/31/2011	PUR	300	\$22.1400
05/31/2011	PUR	700	\$22.1375
05/31/2011	PUR	970	\$22.0100
05/31/2011	SLD	300	\$22.1700
05/31/2011	SLD	700	\$22.1800
05/31/2011	SLD	3,350	\$30.9800
06/01/2011	PUR	100	\$30.9200
06/01/2011	PUR	300	\$30.9300
06/01/2011	PUR	500	\$30.9100
06/01/2011	PUR	500	\$30.9400
06/01/2011	PUR	1,950	\$30.9500
06/03/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.3300
06/06/2011	PUR	1,200	\$31.3000
06/06/2011	PUR	1,500	\$30.6800
06/06/2011	PUR	1,850	\$30.6900
06/06/2011	PUR	2,150	\$31.3100
06/06/2011	SLD	3,350	\$30.5600
06/06/2011	SLD	3,350	\$30.2300
06/07/2011	PUR	200	\$30.1050
06/07/2011	PUR	550	\$30.1200
06/07/2011	PUR	2,600	\$30.1100
06/13/2011	PUR	100	\$29.0191
06/13/2011	PUR	300	\$29.0184
06/13/2011	PUR	300	\$29.0200
06/13/2011	PUR	400	\$29.0192
06/13/2011	PUR	650	\$28.8200
06/13/2011	PUR	800	\$28.8000
06/13/2011	PUR	1,900	\$28.8100
06/13/2011	PUR	2,250	\$29.0300
06/13/2011	PUR	3,350	\$28.7999
06/13/2011	PUR	3,350	\$28.8500
06/13/2011	SLD	600	\$28.7200
06/13/2011	SLD	950	\$28.7250
06/13/2011	SLD	1,800	\$28.7100
06/13/2011	SLD	3,350	\$28.8400
06/13/2011	SLD	3,350	\$28.9900
06/13/2011	SLD	3,350	\$28.9600

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
06/15/2011	PUR	100	\$29.0300
06/15/2011	PUR	900	\$29.0299
06/15/2011	PUR	1,100	\$29.1599
06/15/2011	SLD	200	\$28.9700
06/15/2011	SLD	1,100	\$29.2800
06/17/2011	PUR	4,150	\$29.1100
06/17/2011	SLD	850	\$29.1300
06/17/2011	SLD	3,300	\$29.1200
06/20/2011	PUR	4,150	\$28.8200
06/20/2011	PUR	4,150	\$28.8600
06/20/2011	SLD	1,200	\$28.8800
06/20/2011	SLD	2,950	\$28.8700
06/20/2011	SLD	4,150	\$28.8600
06/23/2011	PUR	900	\$28.8400
06/23/2011	PUR	1,500	\$28.8500
06/23/2011	PUR	1,750	\$28.8600
06/23/2011	SLD	950	\$28.9500
06/23/2011	SLD	3,200	\$28.9600
06/24/2011	PUR	30	\$29.5400
06/24/2011	PUR	100	\$29.5100
06/24/2011	PUR	100	\$29.7775
06/24/2011	PUR	100	\$29.8475
06/24/2011	PUR	100	\$29.8500
06/24/2011	PUR	200	\$29.7800
06/24/2011	PUR	270	\$29.5400
06/24/2011	PUR	400	\$29.5300
06/24/2011	PUR	500	\$29.5400
06/24/2011	PUR	800	\$29.8499
06/24/2011	PUR	1,200	\$29.7799
06/24/2011	SLD	100	\$29.5701
06/24/2011	SLD	200	\$29.5725
06/24/2011	SLD	1,500	\$29.8600
06/24/2011	SLD	2,000	\$29.5700
06/27/2011	PUR	50	\$29.7600
06/27/2011	PUR	150	\$29.8300
06/27/2011	PUR	600	\$29.7800
06/27/2011	PUR	1,000	\$29.5500
06/27/2011	PUR	2,000	\$30.1400
06/27/2011	PUR	2,350	\$30.1500
06/27/2011	PUR	3,350	\$29.9799
06/27/2011	SLD	1,800	\$29.8600
06/27/2011	SLD	2,145	\$30.1500
06/27/2011	SLD	2,205	\$30.1501
06/27/2011	SLD	3,350	\$29.9800
06/28/2011	PUR	100	\$29.4875

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
06/28/2011	PUR	200	\$29.4900
06/28/2011	PUR	200	\$30.0675
06/28/2011	PUR	500	\$29.4899
06/28/2011	PUR	600	\$30.0700
06/28/2011	PUR	800	\$29.5300
06/28/2011	SLD	100	\$29.5400
06/28/2011	SLD	700	\$29.5500
06/28/2011	SLD	800	\$29.5600
06/28/2011	SLD	800	\$30.1100
06/29/2011	PUR	1,500	\$30.1900
06/30/2011	PUR	28	\$30.2700
06/30/2011	PUR	100	\$30.2700
06/30/2011	PUR	200	\$30.1800
06/30/2011	PUR	200	\$30.3100
06/30/2011	PUR	272	\$30.3200
06/30/2011	PUR	3,350	\$30.2799
06/30/2011	SLD	75	\$30.3800
06/30/2011	SLD	100	\$30.3200
06/30/2011	SLD	100	\$30.2225
06/30/2011	SLD	100	\$30.2250
06/30/2011	SLD	135	\$30.3200
06/30/2011	SLD	190	\$30.3300
06/30/2011	SLD	300	\$30.3200
06/30/2011	SLD	750	\$30.3501
06/30/2011	SLD	2,100	\$30.2200
06/30/2011	SLD	2,600	\$30.3500
07/01/2011	PUR	1,252	\$30.5300
07/01/2011	PUR	2,050	\$30.5900
07/01/2011	PUR	2,100	\$30.5800
07/01/2011	PUR	2,300	\$30.4200
07/01/2011	PUR	2,898	\$30.5400
07/01/2011	PUR	4,150	\$30.3599
07/01/2011	PUR	4,150	\$30.5000
07/01/2011	SLD	450	\$30.4700
07/01/2011	SLD	1,185	\$30.4500
07/01/2011	SLD	1,500	\$30.4800
07/01/2011	SLD	1,700	\$30.3050
07/01/2011	SLD	2,200	\$30.4900
07/01/2011	SLD	2,765	\$30.3000
07/01/2011	SLD	4,150	\$30.5600
07/01/2011	SLD	4,150	\$30.5900
07/05/2011	PUR	300	\$30.6500
07/05/2011	PUR	400	\$30.6300
07/05/2011	PUR	800	\$30.6400
07/08/2011	PUR	100	\$30.9800

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
07/08/2011	PUR	100	\$31.0050
07/08/2011	PUR	200	\$31.0000
07/08/2011	PUR	1,900	\$31.0100
07/08/2011	PUR	2,300	\$30.6000
07/08/2011	PUR	2,300	\$31.1200
07/08/2011	PUR	2,300	\$31.1200
07/08/2011	SLD	600	\$30.9400
07/08/2011	SLD	1,700	\$30.9401
07/08/2011	SLD	2,300	\$30.7800
07/08/2011	SLD	2,300	\$31.1601
07/08/2011	SLD	2,300	\$31.1825
07/11/2011	PUR	100	\$31.2875
07/11/2011	PUR	100	\$31.3600
07/11/2011	PUR	300	\$31.2850
07/11/2011	PUR	1,050	\$31.2099
07/11/2011	PUR	2,300	\$31.2100
07/11/2011	PUR	2,950	\$31.2900
07/11/2011	PUR	3,250	\$31.3599
07/11/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.2699
07/11/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.5099
07/11/2011	PUR	3,350	\$31.6599
07/11/2011	SLD	50	\$31.2100
07/11/2011	SLD	100	\$31.2300
07/11/2011	SLD	100	\$31.2400
07/11/2011	SLD	100	\$31.2925
07/11/2011	SLD	100	\$31.3000
07/11/2011	SLD	200	\$31.2400
07/11/2011	SLD	200	\$31.5400
07/11/2011	SLD	200	\$31.5525
07/11/2011	SLD	400	\$31.2500
07/11/2011	SLD	1,600	\$31.2000
07/11/2011	SLD	2,950	\$31.5500
07/11/2011	SLD	3,050	\$31.2900
07/11/2011	SLD	3,300	\$31.2400
07/11/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.4200
07/11/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.6600
07/11/2011	SLD	3,350	\$31.3201
07/12/2011	PUR	100	\$31.9075
07/12/2011	PUR	100	\$32.0250
07/12/2011	PUR	100	\$32.0275
07/12/2011	PUR	200	\$31.8575
07/12/2011	PUR	200	\$32.0275
07/12/2011	PUR	400	\$31.8775
07/12/2011	PUR	700	\$32.0200
07/12/2011	PUR	700	\$32.0300

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
07/12/2011	PUR	1,400	\$32.0258
07/12/2011	PUR	1,400	\$32.0300
07/12/2011	PUR	1,900	\$31.8800
07/12/2011	PUR	2,100	\$31.8600
07/12/2011	PUR	2,200	\$31.9100
07/12/2011	PUR	2,300	\$31.8100
07/12/2011	PUR	2,300	\$31.9499
07/12/2011	PUR	2,300	\$31.9500
07/12/2011	SLD	100	\$31.8900
07/12/2011	SLD	400	\$32.0525
07/12/2011	SLD	400	\$32.0600
07/12/2011	SLD	800	\$32.0501
07/12/2011	SLD	1,100	\$32.0500
07/12/2011	SLD	1,900	\$32.0601
07/12/2011	SLD	2,200	\$31.8901
07/12/2011	SLD	2,300	\$31.8200
07/12/2011	SLD	2,300	\$31.9600
07/12/2011	SLD	2,300	\$31.9500
07/12/2011	SLD	2,300	\$31.8501
07/13/2011	PUR	2,300	\$31.6199
07/13/2011	PUR	2,300	\$31.6499
07/13/2011	SLD	2,300	\$31.3800
07/13/2011	SLD	2,300	\$31.6800
07/14/2011	PUR	50	\$30.6300
07/14/2011	PUR	400	\$30.6100
07/14/2011	PUR	500	\$30.6100
07/14/2011	PUR	666	\$30.6500
07/14/2011	SLD	300	\$30.6300
07/14/2011	SLD	5,350	\$30.6301
07/15/2011	PUR	50	\$30.4900
07/15/2011	PUR	100	\$30.4875
07/15/2011	PUR	300	\$30.5700
07/15/2011	PUR	650	\$30.5800
07/15/2011	PUR	1,300	\$30.4000
07/15/2011	PUR	1,400	\$30.8399
07/15/2011	PUR	1,734	\$30.6100
07/15/2011	PUR	1,950	\$30.8400
07/15/2011	PUR	2,200	\$30.4900
07/15/2011	PUR	3,350	\$30.7799
07/15/2011	PUR	3,350	\$30.8099
07/15/2011	PUR	3,350	\$30.8300
07/15/2011	SLD	100	\$30.8529
07/15/2011	SLD	2,300	\$30.5000
07/15/2011	SLD	2,300	\$30.6000
07/15/2011	SLD	3,250	\$30.8500

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
07/15/2011	SLD	3,350	\$30.8400
07/15/2011	SLD	3,350	\$30.8501
07/15/2011	SLD	3,350	\$30.8401
07/18/2011	PUR	100	\$30.9900
07/18/2011	PUR	1,900	\$30.9899
07/20/2011	PUR	2,000	\$29.6300
07/20/2011	PUR	2,000	\$29.7000
07/20/2011	SLD	2,000	\$29.6600
07/20/2011	SLD	2,000	\$29.6900
07/21/2011	PUR	100	\$28.9675
07/21/2011	PUR	300	\$28.7050
07/21/2011	PUR	500	\$28.9700
07/21/2011	PUR	900	\$28.9800
07/21/2011	PUR	1,100	\$28.9900
07/21/2011	PUR	1,400	\$28.9699
07/21/2011	PUR	1,700	\$28.7100
07/21/2011	PUR	3,350	\$28.9600
07/21/2011	SLD	100	\$29.1500
07/21/2011	SLD	200	\$29.3100
07/21/2011	SLD	1,900	\$29.0900
07/21/2011	SLD	2,000	\$28.7700
07/21/2011	SLD	2,000	\$28.8900
07/21/2011	SLD	5,150	\$29.3000
07/22/2011	PUR	100	\$29.1675
07/22/2011	PUR	900	\$29.1700
07/22/2011	PUR	1,000	\$29.0900
07/28/2011	PUR	2,000	\$29.6500
07/28/2011	SLD	2,000	\$29.6900
08/01/2011	PUR	100	\$28.0900
08/01/2011	PUR	400	\$28.0900
08/01/2011	PUR	1,000	\$28.0900
08/01/2011	SLD	1,900	\$28.1201
08/02/2011	PUR	100	\$28.1800
08/02/2011	PUR	300	\$28.1900
08/02/2011	PUR	1,700	\$28.2600
08/02/2011	PUR	1,700	\$28.5100
08/02/2011	PUR	1,700	\$28.7800
08/02/2011	SLD	1,700	\$28.5100
08/02/2011	SLD	1,700	\$28.8600
08/02/2011	SLD	1,700	\$28.3101
08/03/2011	PUR	600	\$27.6300
08/03/2011	PUR	1,100	\$27.6200
08/03/2011	SLD	1,700	\$27.6700
08/04/2011	PUR	186	\$28.2900
08/04/2011	PUR	2,000	\$28.2900

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
08/04/2011	SLD	100	\$28.3600
08/04/2011	SLD	186	\$28.8600
08/04/2011	SLD	1,900	\$28.3601
08/05/2011	SLD	5,350	\$27.0300
08/12/2011	PUR	1,075	\$25.0000
08/12/2011	PUR	1,075	\$25.2500
08/12/2011	SLD	1,075	\$25.0700
08/12/2011	SLD	1,075	\$24.0900
08/15/2011	PUR	300	\$24.0600
08/15/2011	PUR	300	\$24.0700
08/15/2011	PUR	475	\$24.0800
08/15/2011	PUR	1,075	\$24.3300
08/15/2011	SLD	50	\$24.0200
08/15/2011	SLD	125	\$23.9900
08/15/2011	SLD	400	\$24.0200
08/15/2011	SLD	500	\$24.0200
08/15/2011	SLD	1,075	\$24.3401
08/16/2011	PUR	1,075	\$23.9600
08/16/2011	SLD	1,075	\$25.3000
08/17/2011	PUR	1,075	\$25.6400
08/18/2011	PUR	1,075	\$25.8900
08/18/2011	PUR	1,075	\$25.9100
08/18/2011	PUR	1,075	\$26.0000
08/18/2011	PUR	1,075	\$26.0300
08/18/2011	PUR	1,075	\$26.1500
08/18/2011	SLD	1,075	\$26.0500
08/18/2011	SLD	1,075	\$25.9401
08/18/2011	SLD	1,075	\$25.9100
08/18/2011	SLD	1,075	\$25.9800
08/18/2011	SLD	1,075	\$26.2001
08/18/2011	SLD	1,075	\$25.9900
08/19/2011	PUR	50	\$25.9600
08/19/2011	PUR	100	\$25.8350
08/19/2011	PUR	125	\$26.0500
08/19/2011	PUR	400	\$25.8400
08/19/2011	PUR	500	\$25.8499
08/19/2011	PUR	900	\$25.9800
08/19/2011	PUR	1,075	\$26.3300
08/19/2011	SLD	1,000	\$25.9900
08/19/2011	SLD	1,075	\$26.4600
08/22/2011	PUR	100	\$25.9200
08/22/2011	PUR	1,400	\$25.9199
08/24/2011	SLD	2,575	\$21.8500
08/25/2011	PUR	3,000	\$21.9700
08/25/2011	SLD	200	\$21.6800

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
08/25/2011	SLD	200	\$21.7000
08/26/2011	PUR	150	\$21.7900
08/30/2011	PUR	1,000	\$22.4800
08/30/2011	SLD	550	\$22.3000
08/31/2011	PUR	280	\$22.8200
08/31/2011	SLD	80	\$22.8600
08/31/2011	SLD	700	\$23.0100
09/19/2011	PUR	5	\$22.1500
09/19/2011	PUR	54	\$22.3800
09/19/2011	PUR	200	\$22.3500
09/19/2011	PUR	300	\$22.2400
09/19/2011	PUR	341	\$22.2500
09/22/2011	PUR	30	\$23.0500
09/22/2011	PUR	270	\$23.0500
09/22/2011	PUR	700	\$23.0500
09/22/2011	PUR	1,000	\$23.0475
09/22/2011	SLD	1,000	\$22.9400
09/22/2011	SLD	1,000	\$23.1600
09/28/2011	PUR	900	\$20.8100
09/28/2011	PUR	900	\$20.8700
09/28/2011	SLD	900	\$20.7600
09/28/2011	SLD	900	\$20.9000
10/06/2011	PUR	1,100	\$20.8000
10/06/2011	SLD	1,100	\$20.8300
10/07/2011	PUR	800	\$20.9975
10/07/2011	PUR	865	\$19.9200
10/07/2011	SLD	865	\$19.9800
10/07/2011	SLD	900	\$19.4800
11/01/2011	PUR	300	\$25.8900
11/01/2011	PUR	500	\$25.9000
11/01/2011	SLD	800	\$25.8700
11/02/2011	SLD	800	\$26.2701
11/03/2011	PUR	300	\$26.1900
11/03/2011	PUR	500	\$26.1500
05/15/2012	SLD	700	\$22.2400
05/15/2012	SLD	100	\$22.2400
05/16/2012	PUR	100	\$22.3300
05/16/2012	PUR	200	\$22.2500
05/16/2012	PUR	200	\$22.3300
05/16/2012	PUR	500	\$22.3300
05/16/2012	PUR	600	\$22.2300
05/16/2012	SLD	400	\$22.4400
05/16/2012	SLD	200	\$22.4400
05/16/2012	SLD	200	\$22.4500
05/23/2012	PUR	200	\$21.9300

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
05/23/2012	PUR	600	\$22.0100
05/23/2012	SLD	800	\$21.9000
05/24/2012	PUR	100	\$22.0300
05/24/2012	PUR	900	\$22.0300
05/24/2012	PUR	1,000	\$22.0400
05/24/2012	SLD	1,000	\$22.1300
05/24/2012	SLD	1,000	\$22.0900
05/24/2012	SLD	700	\$22.0700
05/24/2012	SLD	300	\$22.0600
05/25/2012	PUR	30	\$22.0200
05/25/2012	PUR	300	\$22.1400
05/25/2012	PUR	700	\$22.1400
05/25/2012	PUR	970	\$22.0100
05/25/2012	SLD	700	\$22.1800
05/25/2012	SLD	300	\$22.1700
05/29/2012	PUR	411	\$22.7500
05/29/2012	PUR	589	\$22.7600
05/29/2012	SLD	700	\$22.7800
05/29/2012	SLD	300	\$22.7800
06/01/2012	PUR	950	\$22.7300
06/01/2012	SLD	1,000	\$21.7300
06/04/2012	PUR	800	\$22.1600
06/04/2012	SLD	700	\$21.0700
06/04/2012	SLD	200	\$21.0700
06/05/2012	PUR	490	\$21.0900
06/05/2012	SLD	490	\$21.2400
06/06/2012	PUR	580	\$21.5500
06/06/2012	PUR	580	\$21.6700
06/06/2012	SLD	580	\$21.8800
06/06/2012	SLD	380	\$21.7100
06/06/2012	SLD	100	\$21.8300
06/06/2012	SLD	100	\$21.8900
06/08/2012	PUR	890	\$21.6700
06/08/2012	SLD	685	\$21.9600
06/08/2012	SLD	200	\$21.9500
06/11/2012	PUR	300	\$22.0200
06/11/2012	PUR	400	\$22.0300
06/11/2012	PUR	600	\$22.0300
06/11/2012	PUR	700	\$22.0200
06/11/2012	PUR	1,000	\$21.9400
06/11/2012	PUR	1,000	\$22.0100
06/11/2012	SLD	900	\$22.0700
06/11/2012	SLD	800	\$22.1000
06/11/2012	SLD	600	\$22.0600
06/11/2012	SLD	600	\$22.0700

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
06/11/2012	SLD	400	\$22.0500
06/11/2012	SLD	400	\$22.0700
06/11/2012	SLD	200	\$22.1000
06/11/2012	SLD	100	\$22.0700
06/12/2012	PUR	400	\$21.8200
06/12/2012	PUR	400	\$21.7900
06/12/2012	PUR	600	\$21.8200
06/12/2012	PUR	600	\$21.7900
06/12/2012	SLD	700	\$21.8800
06/12/2012	SLD	600	\$21.8700
06/12/2012	SLD	400	\$21.8600
06/12/2012	SLD	300	\$21.8800
06/13/2012	PUR	100	\$21.7800
06/13/2012	PUR	300	\$21.9300
06/13/2012	PUR	300	\$21.9300
06/13/2012	PUR	700	\$21.9300
06/13/2012	PUR	700	\$21.9300
06/13/2012	PUR	900	\$21.7800
06/13/2012	PUR	1,000	\$21.7800
06/13/2012	SLD	1,000	\$21.8000
06/13/2012	SLD	700	\$21.9900
06/13/2012	SLD	700	\$21.9700
06/13/2012	SLD	700	\$21.8200
06/13/2012	SLD	300	\$21.9900
06/13/2012	SLD	300	\$21.9600
06/13/2012	SLD	300	\$21.8100
06/14/2012	PUR	100	\$21.8700
06/14/2012	PUR	900	\$21.8700
06/14/2012	PUR	1,000	\$21.6900
06/14/2012	PUR	1,000	\$21.8600
06/14/2012	SLD	1,000	\$21.7600
06/14/2012	SLD	1,000	\$21.9000
06/14/2012	SLD	700	\$21.7700
06/14/2012	SLD	200	\$21.7700
06/14/2012	SLD	100	\$21.7700
06/15/2012	PUR	1,000	\$21.6100
06/15/2012	PUR	1,000	\$21.5800
06/15/2012	SLD	1,000	\$21.5900
06/15/2012	SLD	700	\$21.6800
06/15/2012	SLD	300	\$21.6800
06/18/2012	PUR	100	\$21.4700
06/18/2012	PUR	300	\$21.4600
06/18/2012	PUR	600	\$21.4500
06/18/2012	PUR	1,000	\$21.5500
06/18/2012	PUR	1,000	\$21.6700

pio

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
06/18/2012	SLD	800	\$21.5900
06/18/2012	SLD	500	\$21.3700
06/18/2012	SLD	500	\$21.5600
06/18/2012	SLD	500	\$21.5700
06/18/2012	SLD	420	\$21.3700
06/18/2012	SLD	100	\$21.6000
06/18/2012	SLD	100	\$21.6000
06/18/2012	SLD	75	\$21.3700
06/18/2012	SLD	5	\$21.3800
06/19/2012	PUR	885	\$21.8500
06/19/2012	SLD	466	\$21.6700
06/19/2012	SLD	100	\$21.6500
06/19/2012	SLD	100	\$21.6500
06/19/2012	SLD	100	\$21.6500
06/19/2012	SLD	100	\$21.6500
06/19/2012	SLD	19	\$21.6500
06/19/2012	SLD	7	\$21.6500
06/19/2012	SLD	3	\$21.6700
06/20/2012	PUR	1,020	\$21.6700
06/20/2012	SLD	1,033	\$21.4400
06/21/2012	PUR	970	\$21.4200
06/21/2012	SLD	1,007	\$20.6500
06/22/2012	PUR	500	\$20.7500
06/22/2012	SLD	507	\$20.6100
06/25/2012	PUR	466	\$20.3800
06/25/2012	SLD	300	\$19.9100
06/25/2012	SLD	100	\$19.9200
06/25/2012	SLD	78	\$19.9100
06/27/2012	PUR	110	\$19.8400
06/27/2012	SLD	112	\$19.6500
06/29/2012	PUR	110	\$20.0100
06/29/2012	SLD	100	\$19.6900
06/29/2012	SLD	13	\$19.7000
07/16/2012	SLD	18	\$19.4700
10/24/2012	PUR	1,500	\$23.8800
10/24/2012	SLD	1,500	\$23.6900
10/25/2012	PUR	1,500	\$23.7000
10/25/2012	SLD	727	\$23.6000
10/25/2012	SLD	200	\$23.6000
10/25/2012	SLD	100	\$23.6000
10/25/2012	SLD	53	\$23.6000
10/26/2012	PUR	1,200	\$23.5400
10/26/2012	SLD	300	\$23.2500
10/26/2012	SLD	300	\$23.2500
10/26/2012	SLD	300	\$23.2500

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
10/26/2012	SLD	100	\$23.2500
10/26/2012	SLD	100	\$23.2600
10/26/2012	SLD	100	\$23.2600
10/26/2012	SLD	15	\$23.2500
10/31/2012	SLD	500	\$25.4200
10/31/2012	SLD	3,200	\$25.2600
11/01/2012	PUR	405	\$25.1500
11/01/2012	PUR	500	\$25.1800
11/07/2012	PUR	1,000	\$25.2500
11/07/2012	PUR	1,500	\$25.2800
11/07/2012	SLD	2,000	\$25.0400
11/07/2012	SLD	1,500	\$25.4900
11/13/2012	PUR	1,000	\$25.0100
11/14/2012	PUR	680	\$24.8200
11/14/2012	SLD	1,745	\$24.1900
11/15/2012	PUR	1,320	\$24.1800
11/20/2012	PUR	706	\$24.8500
11/20/2012	PUR	1,500	\$24.6900
11/20/2012	SLD	300	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	300	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	200	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	200	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	200	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	200	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	200	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.6000
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	100	\$24.5600
11/20/2012	SLD	50	\$24.5600
11/23/2012	PUR	700	\$25.1500
11/23/2012	SLD	700	\$25.3300
11/30/2012	PUR	700	\$25.1500
12/07/2012	PUR	55	\$24.6700
12/19/2012	SLD	1,000	\$26.7800
12/20/2012	PUR	995	\$27.0000
12/24/2012	PUR	348	\$27.5200
12/24/2012	SLD	1,000	\$27.6400
12/26/2012	PUR	50	\$27.6700

pio

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
12/26/2012	PUR	607	\$27.6400
12/26/2012	PUR	690	\$27.5600
02/26/2013	SLD	20	\$26.5700
03/11/2013	PUR	1,000	\$28.1000
03/11/2013	SLD	1,000	\$28.1100
03/12/2013	PUR	1,000	\$28.3600
03/12/2013	SLD	1,000	\$28.4100
03/20/2013	PUR	1,000	\$28.7700
03/20/2013	PUR	1,001	\$28.4200
03/20/2013	SLD	1,001	\$28.4300
03/20/2013	SLD	1,000	\$28.7800
04/08/2013	PUR	975	\$27.6400
04/08/2013	SLD	300	\$27.6100
04/08/2013	SLD	300	\$27.6300
04/08/2013	SLD	175	\$27.6300
04/08/2013	SLD	100	\$27.6100
04/08/2013	SLD	100	\$27.6100
04/15/2013	SLD	10	\$29.0300
04/18/2013	PUR	975	\$29.2100
04/18/2013	PUR	975	\$29.2300
04/18/2013	SLD	975	\$29.3100
04/18/2013	SLD	975	\$29.3500
04/23/2013	PUR	965	\$29.3000
04/23/2013	SLD	965	\$29.3400
05/01/2013	PUR	980	\$30.9500
05/01/2013	SLD	980	\$30.9700
05/02/2013	PUR	980	\$31.5200
05/02/2013	PUR	982	\$31.7300
05/02/2013	SLD	982	\$31.7900
05/02/2013	SLD	980	\$31.5600
05/28/2013	PUR	980	\$33.9200
05/28/2013	SLD	980	\$34.1800
05/29/2013	PUR	980	\$34.1700
05/29/2013	PUR	980	\$34.2000
05/29/2013	SLD	980	\$34.2200
05/29/2013	SLD	980	\$34.2300
06/06/2013	PUR	980	\$34.2500
06/06/2013	PUR	980	\$34.3300
06/06/2013	SLD	980	\$34.3200
06/06/2013	SLD	980	\$34.4100
06/10/2013	PUR	1,000	\$34.6400
06/10/2013	SLD	1,000	\$34.8200
06/21/2013	PUR	980	\$32.8200
06/21/2013	SLD	600	\$32.1800
06/21/2013	SLD	100	\$32.0700

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
06/21/2013	SLD	20	\$31.9200
06/25/2013	SLD	20	\$31.9000
06/25/2013	SLD	2	\$31.9300
06/27/2013	PUR	1,218	\$33.2400
06/27/2013	SLD	1,218	\$32.9600
07/02/2013	SLD	238	\$34.9000
07/24/2013	PUR	300	\$37.2000
07/24/2013	PUR	700	\$37.2000
07/24/2013	SLD	700	\$37.4300
07/24/2013	SLD	300	\$37.4300
07/25/2013	PUR	1,500	\$37.9400
07/25/2013	SLD	1,500	\$37.9800
08/21/2013	PUR	1,500	\$34.7600
08/21/2013	SLD	1,500	\$34.8300
08/26/2013	PUR	1,500	\$35.0900
08/26/2013	PUR	1,500	\$35.2000
08/26/2013	SLD	1,500	\$35.1400
08/26/2013	SLD	1,500	\$35.2500
08/28/2013	SLD	15	\$33.9200
08/29/2013	SLD	1,485	\$34.2400
08/30/2013	PUR	1,485	\$34.2300
09/04/2013	SLD	1,500	\$34.4000
09/04/2013	SLD	645	\$35.1000
09/09/2013	PUR	500	\$36.5200
09/09/2013	PUR	500	\$36.5200
09/09/2013	PUR	1,160	\$36.5200
09/10/2013	PUR	300	\$36.8400
09/10/2013	PUR	1,860	\$36.8400
09/10/2013	PUR	2,160	\$36.9900
09/10/2013	PUR	2,164	\$37.0200
09/10/2013	SLD	2,164	\$37.1200
09/10/2013	SLD	2,160	\$36.8200
09/10/2013	SLD	2,160	\$37.1300
10/09/2013	PUR	750	\$34.2700
10/09/2013	SLD	300	\$34.1600
10/10/2013	SLD	450	\$34.5500
10/15/2013	PUR	130	\$34.9000
10/15/2013	PUR	750	\$34.9800
10/29/2013	PUR	880	\$36.1000
10/29/2013	SLD	880	\$36.1300
10/29/2013	SLD	225	\$36.1500
10/29/2013	SLD	192	\$36.1500
10/29/2013	SLD	155	\$36.0900
10/29/2013	SLD	133	\$36.1500
10/29/2013	SLD	100	\$36.1500

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
10/29/2013	SLD	75	\$36.1500
10/31/2013	PUR	1,000	\$37.3800
10/31/2013	SLD	1,000	\$37.5000
11/01/2013	PUR	700	\$37.5900
11/13/2013	SLD	400	\$38.5500
11/14/2013	PUR	400	\$38.5200
11/15/2013	SLD	320	\$38.7400
11/18/2013	PUR	195	\$38.8600
12/06/2013	PUR	525	\$40.1400
12/06/2013	SLD	525	\$40.2800
12/09/2013	PUR	525	\$40.8200
12/09/2013	PUR	525	\$40.8500
12/09/2013	PUR	525	\$40.8200
12/09/2013	SLD	525	\$40.9000
12/09/2013	SLD	525	\$41.0500
12/09/2013	SLD	525	\$40.8700
12/09/2013	SLD	200	\$41.0800
12/09/2013	SLD	190	\$41.0800
12/09/2013	SLD	100	\$41.0800
12/09/2013	SLD	35	\$41.0800
12/10/2013	PUR	525	\$40.7700
12/26/2013	SLD	1,970	\$41.7100
12/26/2013	SLD	525	\$41.3600
12/26/2013	SLD	2	\$41.5200
12/27/2013	PUR	525	\$41.3500
12/27/2013	PUR	1,972	\$41.3500
01/08/2014	PUR	525	\$40.5500
01/08/2014	SLD	525	\$40.5700
01/14/2014	SLD	700	\$40.5300
01/14/2014	SLD	400	\$40.7300
01/14/2014	SLD	300	\$40.5300
01/14/2014	SLD	300	\$40.7300
01/14/2014	SLD	100	\$40.7300
01/14/2014	SLD	100	\$40.7300
01/14/2014	SLD	100	\$40.7300
01/15/2014	PUR	2,000	\$40.4000
01/16/2014	PUR	1,000	\$39.1000
01/16/2014	PUR	1,000	\$38.8200
01/16/2014	PUR	1,000	\$39.1000
01/16/2014	PUR	1,000	\$38.8200
01/16/2014	SLD	1,000	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	200	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	100	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	50	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	250	\$39.0000

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
01/16/2014	SLD	100	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	300	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	1,000	\$39.0500
01/16/2014	SLD	300	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	250	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	200	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	100	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	100	\$39.0000
01/16/2014	SLD	50	\$39.0000
01/17/2014	PUR	1,000	\$38.9200
01/17/2014	PUR	1,000	\$38.6600
01/17/2014	PUR	1,000	\$38.9200
01/17/2014	PUR	1,000	\$38.6600
01/17/2014	SLD	2,000	\$38.6400
01/17/2014	SLD	2,000	\$38.6400
01/21/2014	PUR	2,000	\$38.6900
01/21/2014	PUR	2,000	\$38.6900
01/21/2014	SLD	2,000	\$38.2600
01/21/2014	SLD	2,000	\$38.2600
01/22/2014	PUR	2,000	\$38.2600
01/22/2014	PUR	2,000	\$38.2600
01/22/2014	SLD	100	\$38.8000
01/22/2014	SLD	284	\$38.8000
01/22/2014	SLD	1,000	\$38.8100
01/22/2014	SLD	100	\$38.8300
01/22/2014	SLD	300	\$38.8300
01/22/2014	SLD	200	\$38.8400
01/22/2014	SLD	16	\$38.8400
01/22/2014	SLD	1,000	\$38.8100
01/22/2014	SLD	300	\$38.8300
01/22/2014	SLD	284	\$38.8000
01/22/2014	SLD	200	\$38.8400
01/22/2014	SLD	100	\$38.8000
01/22/2014	SLD	100	\$38.8300
01/22/2014	SLD	16	\$38.8400
01/23/2014	PUR	2,000	\$38.2700
01/23/2014	PUR	2,000	\$38.2700
01/23/2014	SLD	2,000	\$38.3300
01/23/2014	SLD	2,000	\$38.3300
01/27/2014	PUR	1,000	\$36.8700
01/27/2014	PUR	500	\$36.6400
01/27/2014	PUR	500	\$36.6400
01/27/2014	PUR	1,000	\$36.8700
01/27/2014	SLD	598	\$37.1400
01/27/2014	SLD	902	\$36.9800

GENERAL MOTORS (GM)

Pio, George

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
01/27/2014	SLD	902	\$36.9800
01/27/2014	SLD	598	\$37.1400
01/28/2014	PUR	1,000	\$36.8500
01/28/2014	PUR	1,000	\$36.8500
01/28/2014	SLD	75	\$36.8500
01/28/2014	SLD	25	\$36.9200
01/28/2014	SLD	275	\$36.9200
01/28/2014	SLD	25	\$36.9200
01/28/2014	SLD	300	\$36.9200
01/28/2014	SLD	300	\$36.9200
01/28/2014	SLD	300	\$36.9200
01/28/2014	SLD	300	\$36.9200
01/28/2014	SLD	275	\$36.9200
01/28/2014	SLD	75	\$36.8500
01/28/2014	SLD	25	\$36.9200
01/28/2014	SLD	25	\$36.9200
01/29/2014	PUR	300	\$36.2500
01/29/2014	PUR	900	\$36.5200
01/29/2014	PUR	300	\$36.2500
01/29/2014	PUR	900	\$36.5200
01/29/2014	SLD	1,200	\$36.7500
01/29/2014	SLD	1,200	\$36.7500
01/30/2014	PUR	1,000	\$36.6900
01/30/2014	PUR	1,000	\$36.6900
01/30/2014	SLD	100	\$36.7000
01/30/2014	SLD	200	\$36.7000
01/30/2014	SLD	700	\$36.7000
01/30/2014	SLD	700	\$36.7000
01/30/2014	SLD	200	\$36.7000
01/30/2014	SLD	100	\$36.7000
01/31/2014	PUR	1,000	\$36.3000
01/31/2014	PUR	1,000	\$36.3000
01/31/2014	SLD	1,000	\$36.6300
01/31/2014	SLD	1,000	\$36.6300
02/03/2014	PUR	1,000	\$36.1500
02/03/2014	PUR	500	\$35.9100
02/03/2014	PUR	500	\$35.9100
02/03/2014	PUR	1,000	\$36.1500
02/03/2014	SLD	135	\$35.1500
02/03/2014	SLD	700	\$35.1800
02/03/2014	SLD	500	\$35.2000
02/03/2014	SLD	700	\$35.1800
02/03/2014	SLD	500	\$35.2000
02/03/2014	SLD	135	\$35.1500